

Comparison of three different techniques for gas-liquid mass transfer visualization

Feishi Xu, Gilles Hébrard, Nicolas Dietrich

▶ To cite this version:

Feishi Xu, Gilles Hébrard, Nicolas Dietrich. Comparison of three different techniques for gasliquid mass transfer visualization. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 2020, 150, 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2019.119261. hal-02435885

HAL Id: hal-02435885 https://hal.insa-toulouse.fr/hal-02435885

Submitted on 11 Jan2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

COMPARISON OF THREE DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES FOR GAS-LIQUID MASS TRANSFER VISUALIZATION Feishi XU, Gilles HEBRARD and Nicolas DIETRICH* Toulouse Biotechnology Institute (TBI), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INRA, INSA, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse, France, (Corresponding author's e-mail: dietrich@insa-toulouse.fr)

9 Abstract

10 In this article three different techniques for visualizing gas-liquid mass transfer are 11 reviewed according to their applications in air-water systems. The three techniques 12 are: Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF with the use of fluorescent resorufin), 13 PLIF with Inhibition (PLIF-I with the use of a ruthenium complex), and a 14 colorimetric technique (using a redox reaction with the pink-colored dye resorufin). 15 For comparison purpose, the three techniques were conducted in the same 16 experimental set-up to characterize the local oxygen mass transfer from a single air 17 bubble (with equivalent diameter ~1 mm) rising in water. This paper rigorously 18 compares these three techniques and aims to point out their advantages and 19 limitations. The comparison was divided into two parts: the visualization and the 20 quantification of the mass transfer. The discussion focused on the image quality, the 21 required equipment, and the accuracy of the quantification results including the mass 22 flux, the diffusion coefficient and the mass transfer coefficient. A guideline was 23 provided for choosing the technique that most accurately visualizes and characterizes 24 the local mass transfer in gas-liquid systems.

Keywords: Bubble, Gas-liquid, Mass transfer, Fluorescence, Colorimetric reaction,
Fluorescence quenching

27 1. INTRODUCTION

28 Most of the modern visualization techniques for gas-liquid mass transfer are based on 29 the addition of an indicator (fluorescent dye or colorimetric dye) into the liquid phase 30 which can be captured by optical instrument [1,2]. Compared with the probe 31 technique [3,4], the visualization techniques have the advantages of no flow 32 disturbance, quick response time and high visual resolution, and the capacity to 33 elucidate the profile near the gas-liquid interface despite its limited thickness [5]. 34 They can also be easily combined with other optical methods that can provide 35 simultaneous measurements of flow velocity and temperature [6].

According to the principle of these techniques, the main visualization techniques can be classified as the traditional fluorescence technique (i.e. PLIF: Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence), the inhibited fluorescence technique (i.e. PLIF-I: Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence with Inhibition), and the colorimetric technique (with a dissolved gas-sensitive dye).

41 **1.1 Traditional fluorescence technique**

51

42 Planar Laser-induced fluorescence technique (PLIF) was proposed in the late 1960s 43 and was applied to gas combustion process for studying the heat transfer at early stage 44 [7]. Then PLIF has been rapidly extended to study the mixing by the dispersion of 45 fluorescent tracers in liquid and gaseous flows. As a traditional fluorescence 46 technique, the measurement is based on the linearity between the fluorescence 47 intensity and the concentration of fluorophore in the weak excitation regime [8]. 48 Under weak excitation condition (excitation intensity I much smaller than the 49 saturation intensity of the dye), the relationship between fluorescence level F and local concentration of the dye C_{dye} has a simplified relationship: 50

$$F \propto I \cdot C_{dve} \tag{1}$$

where *I* can be assumed as constant [9,10]. Thus, using a multi-pixel light sensitive
sensor (CMOS or CCD cameras), one can record the fluorescence signal and thus
determine the corresponding instantaneous concentration field of the dye.

55 **1.2 Inhibited fluorescence technique**

56 The traditional PLIF requires the transported species itself play as a role of fluorophore which is difficult to realize for most gas-liquid species. Therefore, several 57 58 variants of PLIF technique appears with the respective personalized name: PLIF-I 59 (PLIF with Inhibition [11]), 2T-LIF (Two-tracer LIF [12]), LIF/HPTS [13], BPLIF (Blocked PLI, [14]), I^R_{PH}-PLIF (Ratiometric pH-sensitive-inhibited [15]), DeLIF 60 61 (Dual Emission LIF, [16]), etc. Different from traditional PLIF techniques, the 62 fluorophore is here pre-mixed homogeneously into the liquid. Fluorescence of this 63 kind of dye under monochromatic excitation can be affected by the presence of a 64 flow-passive scalar (e.g. concentration of a chemical species, pH value, temperature 65 etc.) (Figure 1). The value of this scalar can be deduced from the variation of fluorescence intensity once a previous calibration is done that fluorescence intensities 66 67 are measured for several preset values of the scalar.



68

Figure 1 Schematic description of the principle of variant LIF techniques by
 Jablonski diagram.

A representative example of the inhibited fluorescence technique (noted as PLIF-I
afterward) is based on the quenching phenomena of the fluorescence [17]. In a

quenching experiment, the ability of some molecules called "quenchers" to inhibit the fluorescence is considered which can reduce the fluorescence lifetime of the fluorophore. Regarding the fluorescence lifetime is proportional to fluorescence intensity, the dynamic quenching process is expressed as the Stern-Volmer relationship:

$$\frac{I_Q}{I_0} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{SV}Q} \tag{2}$$

80 where K_{sv} is the Stern-Volmer constant, and I_Q and I_0 the fluorescence intensities 81 in the presence and absence of quencher, respectively. The parameters I_0 and K_{sv} of 82 the Stern-Volmer equation can be easily determined by a calibration process by 83 measuring several I_Q values under different preset concentration of quenchers.

The combination of fluorescence intensity measurements with the effect of fluorescence quenching for gas concentration measurements in liquids was first introduced by Vaughn and Weber [18]. As oxygen has been known as an excellent quencher, Wolff et al. [19] introduced primarily PLIF-I technique to visualize O_2 concentration near a gas-liquid interface. Many following researchers [5,20–22] have continued to work on the same subject by studying the absorption of O_2 .

90 **1.3 Colorimetric technique**

91 The third category of techniques calls the colorimetric technique. It is based on the 92 oxidation and reduction reactions by which the concentration of the target species can 93 be deduced from the change in color of a dissolved dye. Among the literature about 94 colorimetric methods, methylene blue [23], resazurin [24] and leuco-indigo carmine 95 [25] were mainly applied as dyes to investigate mass transfer and concentration fields. 96 The method used in this study was the colorimetric technique proposed by Dietrich et al. [24]. Resazurin (CAS 62758-13-8, molecular mass: 229.19 g·mol⁻¹) was chosen as 97 98 the dye which reacts with oxygen in the presence of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and 99 glucose solution.

When resazurin dissolves in water (blue and not fluorescent), it is reduced to resorufin (pink and highly fluorescent). Then reversible reduction-oxidation occurs between resorufin and dihydroresorufin (colorless and not fluorescent). Since the oxidation reaction is quasi-instantaneous and the reduction reaction is slow (few minutes), it is possible to visualize the pink colored field under a white light source. The oxidation reaction can be expressed as follows:

106
$$0_2 + 2 \times dihydroresorufin \rightarrow 2 \times resorufin + 2 \times H_2 0$$
 (3)

When there is sufficient resazurin to react with all the transferred oxygen, the number
of moles of dissolved oxygen can be deduced from the number of moles of resazurin,
as

110
$$n_{O_2,transferred} = n_{O_2,reacted} = \frac{n_{resazurin}}{2}$$
(4)

111 **1.4** Application of the visualization techniques to gas-liquid systems

All the above three techniques have been applied to a wide variety of gas-liquid
contacting conditions, including bubbly flows, flows across the planar interface and
Taylor flows / confined flows.

Among several industrial processes (e.g. bubble column reactor, stirred tank, etc.), the gas phase usually appears as a form of bubble swarms or isolated bubble because of their high interfacial area for gas-liquid mass transfer [26]. The visualization techniques provides an efficient tool to characterize the transferring process for different type of gas: air [11,27–29], oxygen [20,30] and carbon dioxide [1,31,32].

A series of representative researches have been conducted which apply visualization techniques to investigate mass transfer from bubbles in recent decades. Bork et al. [33] investigated the impact of local phenomena on mass transfer from bubbles according to the bubble diameters and the liquid viscosities as variation parameters by using the quenching reaction with a ruthenium complex. Stöhr et al. [31] visualized the

125 gas-liquid mass transfer and wake structure of rising bubbles using acid-based PLIF. 126 The resulting PLIF image sequences revealed the evolution of characteristic patterns 127 in the near and far wake of the bubbles and further allowed the estimation of bubble 128 size and rise velocity. Kück et al. [30,34] investigated the impact of local phenomena 129 on mass transfer from single free-rising gas bubbles with and without a superimposed 130 chemical reaction using PLIF and PIV (Particle Image Velocimetry). Jimenez et al. 131 [11] worked on the mass transfer of an ellipsoidal bubble, also indicating the 132 influence of the bubble diameter and the liquid composition (glycerol, salt, glucose) 133 on the flux density by PLIF-I technique. Based on a similar configuration, Dietrich et 134 al. [35] captured pictures of the concentration field at micro-scale in the laminar 135 bubble wake, which enabled the gas-liquid diffusivity to be accurately evaluated in a 136 very short time of around one second. Saito and Toriu [36] used experimental results 137 from highly precise measurements of bubble volume to clarify the instantaneous 138 mass-transfer coefficients of a zigzag CO₂ bubble. The zigzag motion and surface 139 oscillation, as well as the CO₂ dissolution (mass transfer) process from the bubble to 140 the surrounding liquid, were simultaneously visualized by using two high-speed 141 cameras and mirrors with the PLIF with a PH sensitive dye HPTS. Based on a similar 142 configuration, Huang and Saito [13,37,38] discussed the influences of gas-liquid 143 interface contamination on the bubble motions, bubble wake patterns, and the mass 144 transfer process, through the reconstruction of three-dimensional bubble wakes in 145 purified water and contaminated water. Timmermann et al. [39] investigated the 146 influence of bubble collisions on the local concentration field at well-defined 147 conditions and that of a superimposed sodium sulfite reaction on the concentration 148 field after bouncing. Using a high-speed PIV/LIF system combined with direct 149 visualization, the local and temporal evolution of the CO₂ chemisorption in aqueous 150 NaOH solution in a cylindrical bubble column was investigated [12] and compared 151 with simulation results [40]. Focusing on the convective mass transfer contribution, 152 Kong et al. [41] used fluorescein as a dye to study the case of a millimetric CO₂

153 bubble suspended under a substrate and dissolved in pure liquid water. Based on the 154 reduction of the colorimetric indicator (resorufin) in presence of oxygen, Dietrich and 155 Hébrard [42] estimated the liquid-side mass transfer coefficient around a freely rising 156 bubble and the result proved that the colorimetric technique had the potential to 157 provide new, detailed insight into the spatiotemporal dynamics of the mass transfer of 158 rising gas bubbles. More recently, the PLIF-I technique was applied to a 159 non-Newtonian fluid to study the impact of fluid properties on the mass transfer 160 coefficient [43,44].

161 These studies from the literature show that the techniques using soluble fluorescent or 162 colorimetric dyes and optical equipment are efficient to visualize the local mass 163 transfer from a bubble with high resolution.

164 Taylor flows have been widely studied because of their performance in terms of both 165 heat and mass transfer, and their regularity, stability, and operability in a broad 166 operating domain. Dietrich et al. [24] first proposed the colorimetric technique (with 167 resorufin) to investigate mass-transfer in Taylor flow. Gray value maps were 168 converted to equivalent oxygen concentrations and a mass transfer model was 169 established, presenting the local characterization of gas-liquid mass transfer. In 170 addition, Yang et al. [45] considered the possibility of enhancing gas-liquid mass 171 transfer by this oxygen colorimetric reaction. Once the kinetic constant and diffusion 172 coefficients had been determined by colorimetric methods, the Hatta number and 173 enhancement factor were deduced, and there was no enhancement of the gas-liquid 174 mass transfer by this extremely fast oxygen reaction. As for the non-invasive and 175 instantaneous advantages, Yang et al. [46] further investigated the mass transfer 176 contribution of the bubble formation stage to the overall process. The liquid side mass 177 transfer coefficients at the moment immediately after the pinch-off stage were 178 obtained and founded bigger than in the flowing stage, which demonstrated that the 179 contribution of the bubble formation stage to overall mass transfer was reasonably 180 large [47,48]. Furthermore, Yang et al. [49] studied the mass transfer intensification in

181 a square meandering channel. A comparison between methylene blue and resazurin 182 systems used in a helically-coiled tube showed better performance by the resazurin 183 system due to the larger difference of time constants in terms of oxidation and 184 reduction processes [50]. Krieger et al. [25] utilized leuco-indigo carmine to visualize 185 local mass transfer and concentration distributions, also investigating chemical 186 selectivity because of the existence of the anionic radical intermediate state. As 187 overlap of three different indigo carmine colors could result in multiple colors, the 188 isolation of each indigo carmine in the calibration procedure was achieved by an 189 imaging processing technique and UV/Vis spectrometry methods. In a more 190 complicated system for the combined mass transfer process and chemical reaction, 191 Kastens et al. [51] reported oxygen concentration fields and wake structures around a 192 Taylor bubble and compared the wake structures they observed with that obtained 193 using the PIV-LIF technique, while Butler et al. [52,53] first investigated the mass 194 transfer of a Taylor oxygen bubble by means of the PLIF-I technique and deduced the 195 oxygen concentration based on Stern-Volmer relations using the ruthenium 196 fluorescence intensities. By employing two groups of PLIF-I images, namely with O₂ 197 and N₂ as the gas phase, they successfully corrected the light scattered by the gas 198 phase in O₂ images by means of N₂ images taken as references. They separated mass 199 transfer coefficients for film to bubble, film to slug and bubble cap to slug, with a 200 good precision.

201 In confined 2D Hele-Shaw cells, bubbles were largely flattened by narrow gaps and 202 confined to move with only two degrees of freedom. Bouche et al. [27] used two 203 fluorescent dyes (i.e., Fluorescein Sodium and Rhodamine WT) to remove the light 204 reflections caused by the gas interface, and Alméras et al. [54] further analyzed the 205 fluctuations of concentration. Roudet et al. [55] estimated the total mass transfer rate 206 using the Sherwood number and Péclet number and compared the mass transfer rate 207 in the films with total mass transfer. Felis et al. [56,57] investigated the oxygen mass 208 transfer and local oxygen concentration around a rising bubble and compared the 209 equivalent oxygen concentration obtained by resazurin with that given by Cu/O_2 210 complexes.

Several excellent reviews have been made focusing on fluorescence techniques [8,58], however, they mixed the traditional PLIF techniques with inhibited PLIF techniques even though they are theoretically different. For comparison purpose, the experiments of the work presented here were conducted on the same experimental setup to characterize the local mass transfer and the diffusion around a single air bubble rising in water by using PLIF (with fluorescent resorufin), PLIF-I (with ruthenium complex), and colorimetric techniques (with pink resorufin).

218 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

219 2.1 Experimental setup

The experimental setup, depicted in Figure 2, was based on a dual camera system [20]. The glass column made of transparant PMMA (Poly (methyl methacrylate)) had dimensions of $100 \times 100 \times 300$ mm³. A stainless steel needle was inserted into the column through a hole located 20 mm above the bottom of the column. The needle was used for bubble injection, the bubble being pushed out of the tip of the needle when the other side of the needle was connected to a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus PHD 22/2000 Programmable, USA).



Figure 2 Experimental setup

228

229

230

For laser-based techniques (i.e. PLIF and PLIF-I), a horizontal laser sheet was generated by a Nd:Yag laser (Dantec Dynamics Dualpower 200-15, France) and positioned about 100 mm above the needle. The high-intensity laser (2×200 mJ)

emitted light with a wavelength of 532 nm, which enabled the dye to be excited with afrequency of 15 Hz.

236 When the bubble was rising in the column, the images of the fluorescence in the 237 bubble wake were recorded by a Charge-Coupled Device (CCD) camera (Dantec 238 Dynamics Flowsense CM). The camera was placed under the column and focused on 239 the laser sheet. A microlens (105 mm f/8, Canon) with an extension tube (36 mm) was applied to increase the magnification of the investigated area. The length scale was 240 calibrated with a ruler and image area was about $10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$ in real distances. A 570 241 242 nm high-pass filter was placed in front of the lens to block the laser light. The CCD 243 camera was synchronized with the laser thus 15 images were acquired every second in 244 the forms of 12 bits and 2048×2048 pixels of resolution. For each bubble, the time 245 was set to 0 when the first image containing the transferred mass was taken. A high-speed camera (Photron SA3, Japan) was placed orthogonally to the first camera, next to one lateral side of the column. It was used to capture the hydrodynamics of the bubble, such as the velocity, shape, and trajectory of the bubble at a rate of 2000 fps. The images were in 8 bit format with a resolution of 1024×1024 pixels. The length scale was calibrated with a ruler and, in real distances, the image area was about $30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$. The backlight was provided by a LED lighting board facing the camera.

The images from the two cameras were then transferred to the acquisition system, which consisted of two professional software packages (DynamicStudio 4.0 and Photron Fastcam Viewer 2). They can carry out simple image adjustment such as color filtration, length calibration, etc. It should be noted that the above experimental setup was designed to characterize the mass transfer in the bubble wake. To visualize the mass transfer near the bubble interface, the laser sheet should be positioned vertically and the positions of the two cameras may be switched.

All the experiments were carried out under the controlled conditions, i.e. with the same needle (~ 0.7 mm of inner diameter) and same flow rate (2 μ L·s⁻¹) for bubble injection, at a temperature of 293.15 K and under atmospheric pressure (1 atm). The good reproducibility of the bubble size (~ 1 mm), shape (ellipsoidal) and trajectory (vertical) of the injected bubbles allowed us to assume that each of the individual bubbles examined was identical. The details of the materials and properties of the bubbles in the experiments are given in Table 1.

266

Table 1 Composition of the fluids and properties of the bubbles for comparison of three techniques

	PLIF-I	PLIF	Colorimetric technique
Liquid-Gas	Deionized water + Air bubble		
Dye	Ruthenium Complex	Resazurin 100 mg \cdot L ⁻¹ (+ NaOH 20 g \cdot L ⁻¹	

	$75 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$	+ Glucose 20 g·L ⁻¹)	
$\sigma [\mathrm{mN}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-1}]$	72 75		
ρ [kg·m ⁻³]	1000	1004	
μ [mPa·s]	1.003	1.100	
Diameter of bubble	$1.25 \pm 0.04 \text{ mm}$		
Aspect ratio of bubble	1.13 ± 0.02		
Velocity of bubble	$295 \pm 10 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$		
Re	368 ± 20		

270 **2.2 Oxygen indicator (dye)**

In the mass transfer visualization experiments, the oxygen concentration is indicated by different dissolved dyes: ruthenium complex for PLIF-I and resorufin for the colorimetric technique and the PLIF technique.

274 **Ruthenium complex**

In this study, a type of ruthenium complex (C₃₆H₂₄Cl₂N₆Ru·xH₂O, Sigma-Aldrich, 275 USA, CAS: 207802-45-7) is adopted as a fluorescence dye. This dye is easy to 276 277 dissolve in water and has a large separation between absorption and emission spectra 278 (Stock shift) [30,34]. The fluorescence efficiency has been tested for different concentrations of dye [59] by comparing the difference of the gray levels when 279 dissolved oxygen concentration varies from $0 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ to $3 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$. It was found that 280 when the concentration of the dye passes a critical value (~75 mg \cdot L⁻¹), the 281 fluorescence efficiency no longer increases. Thus, the concentration of dye was set at 282 75 mg \cdot L⁻¹ to guarantee fluorescence efficiency and economy. Before each experiment, 283 the dye was added into the water and stirred together for 1 hour for well mixing. 284

285 **Resazurin** (resorufin)

Another dye used in this research is resazurin ($C_{12}H_6NNaO_4$, Sigma-Aldrich, USA, CAS: 62758-13-8). Resazurin is a phenoxazin-3-one dye which has been widely employed for testing bacterial or yeast contamination in biological fluids and milk, and also identifying the semen quality by colorimetry since the 1950s [60].

The dye solution is prepared with 100 mg \cdot L⁻¹ in the presence of sodium hydroxide 290 (VWR, USA, CAS: 1310-73-2) and D-glucose anhydrous (Fischer Scientific, USA, 291 CAS: 50-99-7), both diluted at 20 g·L⁻¹. According to Anderson et al. [61], the reaction 292 293 kinetics could be generally assumed to be the first-order dependence on the 294 concentrations of glucose and resazurin, which means one mole of resorufin needs 295 one mole of resazurin to be reduced with one mole of glucose. In our cases, the concentration of resazurin equals to 100 mg·L⁻¹, namely 4.36×10^{-4} mol·L⁻¹, thus the 296 minimum concentration of glucose needs to be 7.86×10^{-2} g·L⁻¹. For the minimum 297 298 concentration of NaOH required, in fact, the first step of colorimetric reaction is the 299 enolization of glucose by [OH⁻], then the glucose enediolate reduces resazurin to 300 resorufin. However, unfortunately it is difficult to obtain the actual value of [OH] by 301 simply considering the quantity of NaOH used to prepare the solution. Therefore, we 302 suggest the optimal employed concentrations of glucose and NaOH to be both 20 $g \cdot L^{-1}$, and the concentration of resazurin to be 0.1 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ to ensure the visualization and 303 the post-treatment of the colored fields with a balance between the reaction kinetic 304 305 rates and the requirement of adequate color intensity levels.

306 Under the applied concentration of the dye (with NaOH and Glucose), the 307 corresponding enhancement factor equals to 1.03, which means that the enhancement 308 effect of the colorimetric reaction on the mass transfer is negligible [45]. It should be 309 noted that the presence of the dye had a small impact on the fluid property. Especially 310 for cases with resazurin, the extra chemicals (NaOH and Glucose) slightly increased 311 the viscosity of the liquid compared with the result measured in pure water.

- 312 As mentioned in section 1.3, the reduced resazurin, namely resorufin (pink), is highly
- 313 fluorescent, which means it can play a role as an oxygen indicator. This feature makes it
- 314 can be also used as a dye for PLIF technique.

315 3. VISUALIZATION RESULTS

- 316 With the experimental configuration, the mass transferred in the wake of the bubble
- 317 could be visualized from two directions: side view and bottom view (see Figure 3).



318

Figure 3 Schematic description of side view and bottom view for the mass transfer from the bubble

321

- 322 An example of images recorded by the three different visualization techniques from
- 323 the side view are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4 Recorded images from different visualization techniques (Side view)
 327

On the image by PLIF-I and the colorimetric technique, the mass transfer zone is dark
against a bright background, whereas the opposite is true for the images by PLIF.
Some observations can be made:

331 (1) For the side view, the images using the laser techniques (PLIF or PLIF-I)332 suffer from a problem of reflection around the bubble surface.

333 (2) Regarding the bubble shadow on the PLIF-I image, a white ring appears
334 around the bubble interface, making the bubble boundary difficult to recognize.
335 Moreover, the reflection also has an impact on the mass transfer area near the bubble
336 surface, especially for the part facing the laser (left part on the image). The effect
337 diminishes along the laser direction but still cannot be neglected.

338 (3) For the PLIF image, the fluorescence effect is quite strong and the light
around the bubble surface is relatively weaker than that in the bubble wake.
Reflection occurs at the transfer interface, making the gray level recorded in this area
much higher than the actually induced value.

(4) On the other hand, the local mass transfer by the colorimetric technique is
quite clear, without the reflection issue. This property makes the colorimetric method
suitable for tracking the mass transfer even near the gas-liquid interface.

345 (5) Far from the bubble surface, all three methods can visualize the mass transfer

346 properly.

347 Due to the reflection problem of the laser techniques, there is a lack of information 348 near the bubble surface, so it is difficult to precisely quantify the mass transfer with 349 side view images. By contrast, the absence of a reflection problem means that the 350 colorimetric technique can be used to calculate the mass transfer coefficient [42].

351





354

355 To avoid the reflection around the bubble caused by the laser flash, the camera is 356 placed under the column to record the mass transfer in one cross-section of the bubble 357 wake far from the passed bubble. From the bottom view, as shown in Figure 5, there 358 is good contrast between the mass transfer 'spot' and the background. The transferred 359 mass (dissolved oxygen) is concentrated at the spot center and becomes dispersed 360 near the boundary of the spot. Among the three images, the one by PLIF seems to 361 have the best quality, with the clear background (totally black) due to the different 362 color indicating mass transfer (white). The mass transfer can be quantified with 363 bottom view images for all three techniques.

364 4. QUANTIFICATION OF MASS TRANSFER

365 The relationship between the real oxygen concentration and the gray level recorded

366 on the images was established by the calibration process. Examples of the calibration 367 results for the three techniques are shown in Figure 6. It can be seen that all three 368 curves represent monotonic functions leading to a reasonable calculation of the 369 oxygen concentration. The curve for PLIF is a straight line, showing that the gray 370 level increase is directly proportional to higher oxygen concentration. For the other 371 two curves (PLIF-I and colorimetric technique), the gray levels drop sharply, indicating that the dye is sensitive to low oxygen concentration ($[O2] < 4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) but, 372 373 when the oxygen concentration rises, the variation of the gray level is relatively small. 374 For most of our cases, the oxygen concentration in the bubble wake was small within 375 a reasonable calibration range.

376



380

Regarding the sensitivity of calibration, when dissolved oxygen concentration varies from 0 mg·L⁻¹ to 8 mg·L⁻¹, the change of gray levels for PLIF-I, PLIF, and the colorimetric technique is 2000, 4000 and 2500 respectively. Under the configuration investigated here, resorufin is more sensitive to the oxygen concentration when used as a fluorescent dye than when used as a colorimetric dye and is also better than the ruthenium complex. This property leads to better contrast between the transferred mass and the background, which will be discussed in the following sections.

388 In addition, unlike the results obtained in the microchannel [46], the calibration curve

389 of the colorimetric technique is not linear. That is because the thickness of the image 390 area is no longer negligible when the camera takes images from the bottom of the 391 column and the calibration is actually implemented within a volume instead of a thin 392 plane. Thus, the Beer-Lambert absorption decreases the gray level, especially for the 393 solutions with high concentrations of the dye.

With the calibration curve, the gray level expresses the oxygen concentration, and the concentration field of the dissolved oxygen in the bubble wake is plotted in Figure 7. It can be seen that the background noise on the PLIF image is less significant than in the other two images, which is consistent with the result for the side view in Figure 4. The background noise on the PLIF-I image is relatively significant, so a larger threshold is needed for PLIF-I to eliminate the impact of background noise.

400



401

402 Figure 7 Examples of the dissolved oxygen concentration field in the bubble
403 wake at the same moment (bottom view).

404

405 Unlike PLIF and PLIF-I techniques, the colorimetric technique gives a background 406 concentration much higher than zero. It should be noted that the gray levels (mass 407 transfer) recorded on the images by these three techniques are not exactly the same 408 (see Figure 8). Since only a plane slice is lit by the laser sheet, the results by PLIF and 409 PLIF-I display exactly the mass transfer in the cross-section concerned. For the 410 colorimetric technique, the whole column is illuminated by the backlight and the 411 camera thus records the information not only in the focal plane but also in the front 412 and the rear ones due to the depth of field. Its results refer to a superposition of the 413 mass transfer in a series of continuous cross sections. This was probably the source of 414 the deviation on the mass transfer estimation.







417

Figure 8 Image composition of mass transfer in bubble wake

418

419 In order to obtain the transferred mass flux, the image quality should be improved. An 420 image processing procedure was implemented using Matlab (2017b) software. Firstly, 421 the background impact was removed by subtracting the raw image from the average 422 of 50 consecutive images just before the bubble went across the image plane. Then a 423 threshold is applied to remove the remaining noise at the image background. A central 424 region with resolution of 150×150 pixels was extracted which contained the mass 425 transfer spot (see Figure 9 top). Since the spot had a circular distribution of the 426 oxygen concentration that the oxygen diffused from the spot center to the surrounding, 427 the extracted images of the oxygen field were then fitted with the 2D Gaussian diffusing model (see [35]), in which the oxygen concentration $[O_2]$ on the pixel (x, y)428 429 was estimated by:

430
$$[O_2](x, y) = A \exp \frac{-(x-X)^2 + (y-Y)^2}{B}$$
(5)

431 where *A*, *B* are the parameters representing the properties of a Gaussian distribution,

432 and (X,Y) is the center of the spot. These four parameters were determined by 433 minimizing the error between the measured value $[O_2]$ and the value from the fitting 434 model (Eq. (5)). The fitting images are shown in Figure 9 bottom.



435

Figure 9 Corrected images (top) and fitted images (bottom) of the concentration
field of dissolved oxygen in the bubble wake.

438

The fitting errors for all the three techniques are within 5%, validating the fitting Gaussian model. Summing the oxygen concentration in each pixel of the image area (see [62]) gives the fluxes before and after the fitting, as shown in Table 2. The PLIF technique has the best fitting result thanks to the good quality of its raw images.

443

444

Table 2 Result of fluxes before and after fitting for the three techniques

	Flux before fitting [mg·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²]	Flux after fitting [mg·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻²]	Fitting error [%]
PLIF-I	3.53 ± 0.10	3.70	< 5%
PLIF	3.43 ± 0.03	3.45	< 1%
Colorimetric technique	3.35 ± 0.08	3.45	< 3%

The oxygen concentration field in the bubble wake was found for each recording moment (every 1/15 second) and the temporal evolution of the mass flux thus obtained is shown in Figure 10. For a small bubble with a linear trajectory, the mass transfer in the bubble wake far from the passed bubble is dominated by diffusion since the vertical convection induced by the bubble can be neglected. The mass transfer takes place by two-dimensional diffusion, which means that the total flux on the image should be conservative as a function of time.

It can be seen that, after the variation of the first several images due to the convection of the bubble, the fluxes measured by the three techniques tend to be constant versus time. For the PLIF and colorimetric cases, the fluxes are basically stable, but the total flux is slightly smaller than that found by PLIF-I. This flux decrease may be related to the presence of extra chemicals (NaOH and Glucose) impacting the liquid properties.

458



459

460 461

Figure 10 Mass flux versus time measured by different techniques (PLIF-I, PLIF, Colorimetric).

462

Plotting the fitted oxygen concentration along a line passing the spot center reveals that the distribution of the oxygen centration has a Gaussian profile. Based on this temporal evaluation of the oxygen profile in the bubble wake, it is possible to characterize the diffusion process (see Figure 11). It can be seen that the dissolved oxygen is concentrated at the spot center and then diffuses gradually to the surroundings, making the concentration over the area more homogeneous.



With the method introduced by Xu et al. [63], the area of the mass transfer spotexpands as a function of time at a rate related to the diffusion coefficient:

$$S_{spot} = \pi R^2 = 2\pi Dt \tag{6}$$

477 The evolution of the spot area for the three techniques is plotted in Figure 12. For all 478 three techniques, the curves of S_{spot} have good linearity versus time so the diffusion 479 coefficient can be calculated from the slope of the curve (see Table 3).



PLIF-I	1.24	99%	1.97
PLIF	0.17	99%	0.27
Colorimetric	0.76	98%	1.20

The diffusion coefficients for the PLIF-I, PLIF and colorimetric techniques are 2×10^{-9} 486 $m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$, $0.27 \times 10^{-9} m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$, and $1.20 \times 10^{-9} m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$, respectively. In view of the technical 487 488 problem mentioned above, the estimates of the diffusion coefficient using PLIF with resazurin and colorimetric technique are distorted. The reason is that these two 489 490 techniques are based on the tracking of a different molecule (resorufin) rather than 491 oxygen. The mathematical approach is based on the conservative flux, which may 492 also contribute to the error for the result by PLIF with resazurin and the colorimetric 493 technique. In contrast, the result by PLIF-I is consistent with the literature [30], 494 proving more accurate for the quantification of the diffusion.

With the fluxes obtained from the images, the mass transfer coefficient can be estimated by dividing the flux J_{O_2} by the driving force. In our cases, the saturated concentration $[O_2]^*$ is close to 9 mg·L⁻¹. For the PLIF-I technique, the bulk concentration $[O_2]_0$, measured after the deoxygenation of the liquid, is 0.2 mg·L⁻¹. For the techniques with resazurin (PLIF and colorimetric technique), the oxygen is totally consumed or reacted before the experiment, so $[O_2]_0$ is assumed to be 0 mg·L⁻¹.

502
$$k_L = \frac{J_{O_2}}{[O_2]^* - [O_2]_0} \tag{7}$$

The results for the mass transfer coefficients are given in Table 4. Regarding the underestimated flux, the value determined by the PLIF and colorimetric technique with resazurin is slightly smaller than that by PLIF-I. Nevertheless, all the values are consistent with the literature [64] indicating that all three techniques can be used for quantifying the mass transfer.

Table 4 Result of mass transfer coefficient by the three techn	iques
--	-------

	k_L
	$[\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{m \cdot s^{-1}}]$
PLIF-I	4.01 ± 0.010
PLIF	3.81 ± 0.005
Colorimetric technique	3.61 ± 0.008

510 **5. DISCUSSION**

511 The advantages and limitations of each technique are summarized in Table 5. All three 512 techniques can be used for tracking the mass transfer to some extent. When resorufin 513 (from resazurin) is used as a pink dye, the colorimetric technique can even visualize 514 the mass transfer near the bubble surface. When it is used as a fluorescent dye, the 515 image by PLIF technique shows good contrast between the mass transfer area and the 516 pure black background. This property makes resorufin useful for the visualization of 517 the mass transfer in a more complex structure induced by bigger bubbles (Figure 13). 518 Since the resorufin has both pink color and fluorescence property, we can integrate 519 the colorimetric and PLIF techniques by using the current experimental set-up (Figure 520 2). Based on the dual camera system, the mass transfer in both directions (side view 521 and bottom view) can be visualized simultaneously. This would be a promising 522 technique for the following study of local mass transfer characterization.



524 Figure 13 Visualization result with resorufin as a dye for bigger bubble (D_{eq} ~3 525 mm). Left: image by PLIF; Right: image by colorimetric technique.

526

527 For the quantification of the mass transfer, the PLIF-I technique can give a reasonable 528 result for the diffusion coefficient and mass transfer coefficient, while the other two 529 techniques with resazurin do not correctly characterize the diffusion process due to 530 the reaction mechanism. Another limitation for the PLIF and colorimetric techniques 531 is that the oxygen indicator resazurin needs to be used together with extra chemicals 532 (NaOH and glucose), which will have an impact on the fluid under investigation. The 533 mass transfer coefficients measured by PLIF and coulometric techniques (with NaOH and glucose) remain in a reasonable range (3.6-3.8 $\times 10^{-4}$ m·s⁻¹), regardless a slight 534 decrease compared to the PLIF-I technique (without NaOH and glucose). Thus, for 535 536 our cases as oxygen mass transfer in deionized water, it has been proven that NaOH 537 and glucose have no evident effect on mass transfer performance. However, it should 538 be very careful when implement the colorimetric technique involving specific 539 solute/solvent. The reaction possibility between the colorimetric solution and 540 investigated solution should be carefully taken into account, which means NaOH and glucose, even resorufin could act as contaminants which affect mass transfer. 541

Table 5 Advantages and limitations of three techniques (PLIF-I, PLIF,colorimetric technique)

	Advantage \checkmark or limitation \Join
PLIF-I	\checkmark Tracks mass transfer in the wake far from the surface
	☑ Accurate quantification of flow flux
	✓ Characterizes the diffusion
	Reflection at the bubble surface
	🗵 Significant background noise
	⊠ Shadow of the bubble
PLIF	\checkmark Tracks mass transfer in the wake far from the surface
	✓ Less background noises
	☑ Cannot characterize the diffusion of oxygen
	Reflection at the bubble surface
	⊠ Extra chemicals
Colorimetric technique	\checkmark Tracks mass transfer even near the bubble
	\checkmark No reflection near the bubble
	Cannot characterize the diffusion of oxygen
	Complex composition of the recorded image
	⊠ Extra chemicals

545

546 **CONCLUSIONS**

547 Three different visualization techniques PLIF-I (with ruthenium complex), (PLIF 548 (with fluorescent resorufin), and a colorimetric technique (with pink resorufin)) have 549 been compared, with particular focus on their application to characterize the mass 550 transfer around a single bubble rising in water. The PLIF and colorimetric technique 551 with resazurin are both effective for tracking the local mass transfer. However, under 552 our investigation conditions, there was a small distortion in the quantification of the

553 transferred oxygen fluxes by these two techniques, due to the presence of the extra 554 chemicals (NaOH and Glucose). Moreover, they cannot be used to quantify the oxygen 555 diffusive process as oxygen is consumed. Actually, these two techniques are based on 556 the tracking of a different molecule (resorufin) instead of the oxygen molecule. 557 Concerning the colorimetric technique, it is also less precise because its image contains 558 the mass transfer not only on the target cross-section but also on those below and above 559 it. Although the processing to remove the noise in the image background is relatively 560 rigorous, PLIF-I is more accurate for the quantification of the diffusion coefficient and 561 mass transfer coefficient.

562

563 NOMENCLATURE

Latin Symbols

C_{dye}	concentration of the dye
D	diffusion coefficient
F	fluorescence level
Ι	laser intensity
I_0	fluorescence intensities without quencher
I_Q	fluorescence intensities with quencher
J	Flux density
k_L	liquid side mass transfer coefficient
K_{SV}	Stern-Volmer constant
Q	concentration of the quencher molecule
r^2	determination coefficient
S_{spot}	area of mass transfer spot
t	time

564 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

565 The financial assistance provided by the China Scholarship Council for Feishi XU is

566 gratefully acknowledged. The federation Fermat is also thanked for its leading-edge

567 material support.

568 **REFERENCES**

- K. Hanyu, T. Saito, Dynamical mass-transfer process of a CO2 bubble measured
 by using LIF/HPTS visualisation and photoelectric probing, Can. J. Chem. Eng.
 88 (2010) 551–560. https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.20319.
- 572 [2] P. Valiorgue, N. Souzy, M.E. Hajem, H.B. Hadid, S. Simoëns, Concentration 573 measurement in the wake of a free rising bubble using planar laser-induced 574 fluorescence (PLIF) with a calibration taking into account fluorescence 575 extinction variations. Fluids. 54 (2013)1501. Exp 576 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-013-1501-y.
- 577 [3] C.R. Chu, G.H. Jirka, Turbulent gas flux measurements below the air-water
 578 interface of a grid-stirred tank, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer.
 579 35 (1992) 1957–1968. https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(92)90198-2.
- 580 [4] M. Riethues, R. Buchholz, U. Onken, H. Baumgärtl, D.W. Lübbers,
 581 Determination of oxygen transfer from single air bubbles to liquids by oxygen
 582 microelectrodes, Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification.
 583 20 (1986) 331–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/0255-2701(86)80011-3.
- 584 [5] Herlina, G.H. Jirka, Application of LIF to investigate gas transfer near the
 585 air-water interface in a grid-stirred tank, Exp Fluids. 37 (2004) 341–349.
 586 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-004-0822-2.
- A. Charogiannis, J.S. An, C.N. Markides, A simultaneous planar laser-induced 587 [6] 588 fluorescence, particle image velocimetry and particle tracking velocimetry technique for the investigation of thin liquid-film flows, Experimental Thermal 589 590 and Fluid Science. 68 (2015)516-536. 591 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2015.06.008.
- 592 [7] R.K. Hanson, J.M. Seitzman, P.H. Paul, Planar laser-fluorescence imaging of
 593 combustion gases, Appl. Phys. B. 50 (1990) 441–454.
 594 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00408770.
- 595 [8] J.P. Crimaldi, Planar laser induced fluorescence in aqueous flows, Exp Fluids. 44
 596 (2008) 851–863. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-008-0496-2.
- 597 [9] K. Anderson, J.M. Cooper, S.J. Haswell, D. Marshall, H. Yin, X. Zhang,
 598 Microfluidic-based measurements of cytochrome P450 enzyme activity of
 599 primary mammalian hepatocytes, Analyst. 135 (2010) 1282–1287.
 600 https://doi.org/10.1039/C0AN00031K.
- [10] H. Golnabi, M. Razani, Oxygen sensing based on the oxidation process in
 resorufin dye, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 122 (2007) 109–117.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2006.05.012.

- 604 [11] M. Jimenez, N. Dietrich, G. Hébrard, Mass transfer in the wake of non-spherical
 605 air bubbles quantified by quenching of fluorescence, Chemical Engineering
 606 Science. 100 (2013) 160–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2013.01.036.
- 607 [12] P. Kováts, D. Thévenin, K. Zähringer, Investigation of Mass Transfer and
 608 Hydrodynamics in a Model Bubble Column, Chemical Engineering &
 609 Technology. 40 (2017) 1434–1444. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201600679.
- [13] J. Huang, T. Saito, Influence of Bubble-Surface Contamination on Instantaneous
 Mass Transfer, Chem. Eng. Technol. 38 (2015) 1947–1954.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201500056.
- [14] T. Lacassagne, M.E. Hajem, F. Morge, S. Simoens, J.-Y. Champagne, Study of
 Gas Liquid Mass Transfer in a Grid Stirred Tank, Oil Gas Sci. Technol. Rev.
 IFP Energies Nouvelles. 72 (2017) 7. https://doi.org/10.2516/ogst/2017001.
- 616 [15] T. Lacassagne, S. Simoëns, M.E. Hajem, J.-Y. Champagne, Ratiometric,
 617 single-dye, pH-sensitive inhibited laser-induced fluorescence for the
 618 characterization of mixing and mass transfer, Exp Fluids. 59 (2018) 21.
 619 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-017-2475-y.
- 620 [16] S. Someya, S. Bando, Y. Song, B. Chen, M. Nishio, DeLIF measurement of pH 621 distribution around dissolving CO2 droplet in high pressure vessel, International 622 of Transfer. 48 2508-2515. Journal Heat and Mass (2005)623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2004.12.042.
- 624 [17] M.R. Eftink, Fluorescence Quenching Reactions, in: T.G. Dewey (Ed.),
 625 Biophysical and Biochemical Aspects of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Springer
 626 US, Boston, MA, 1991: pp. 1–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-9513-4_1.
- [18] W.M. Vaughn, G. Weber, Oxygen quenching of pyrenebutyric acid fluorescence
 in water. Dynamic probe of the microenvironment, Biochemistry. 9 (1970)
 464–473. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00805a003.
- [19] L.M. Wolff, Z.-C. Liu, T.J. Hanratty, A Fluorescence Technique to Measure
 Concentration Gradients near an Interface, in: ASCE, 1991: pp. 210–218.
 http://cedb.asce.org/cgi/WWWdisplay.cgi?73126 (accessed January 20, 2016).
- [20] J. François, N. Dietrich, P. Guiraud, A. Cockx, Direct measurement of mass
 transfer around a single bubble by micro-PLIFI, Chem. Eng. Sci. 66 (2011)
 3328–3338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2011.01.049.
- [21] V. Kapoustina, J. Ross-Jones, M. Hitschler, M. Raedle, J.-U. Repke, Direct
 spatiotemporally resolved fluorescence investigations of gas absorption and
 desorption in liquid film flows, Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 99 (2015) 248–255.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2015.03.024.
- 640 [22] W.E. Asher, T.M. Litchendorf, Visualizing near-surface concentration

- 641 fluctuations using laser-induced fluorescence, Experiments in Fluids. 46 (2009)642 243–253.
- [23] P.M. Piccione, A.A. Rasheed, A. Quarmby, D. Dionisi, Direct Visualization of
 Scale-Up Effects on the Mass Transfer Coefficient through the "Blue Bottle"
 Reaction, J. Chem. Educ. 94 (2017) 726–729.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00633.
- [24] N. Dietrich, K. Loubière, M. Jimenez, G. Hébrard, C. Gourdon, A new direct
 technique for visualizing and measuring gas–liquid mass transfer around bubbles
 moving in a straight millimetric square channel, Chemical Engineering Science.
 100 (2013) 172–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2013.03.041.
- [25] W. Krieger, J. Lamsfuß, W. Zhang, N. Kockmann, Local Mass Transfer
 Phenomena and Chemical Selectivity of Gas-Liquid Reactions in Capillaries,
 Chemical Engineering & Technology. 40 (2017) 2134–2143.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201700420.
- [26] D. Colombet, D. Legendre, A. Cockx, P. Guiraud, F. Risso, C. Daniel, S. Galinat,
 Experimental study of mass transfer in a dense bubble swarm, Chemical
 Engineering Science. 66 (2011) 3432–3440.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2011.01.020.
- E. Bouche, S. Cazin, V. Roig, F. Risso, Mixing in a swarm of bubbles rising in a
 confined cell measured by mean of PLIF with two different dyes, Exp Fluids. 54
 (2013) 1552. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-013-1552-0.
- [28] M. Fedrizzi, J. Soria, Planar laser fluorescence imaging of bubble detachment, in:
 RMIT University, 2014: pp. 1–4.
- [29] J. Moghaddas, C. Trägårdh, T. Kovacs, K. Östergren, A new method for
 measuring concentration of a fluorescent tracer in bubbly gas–liquid flows,
 Experiments in Fluids. 32 (2002) 728–729.
- [30] U.D. Kück, M. Schlüter, N. Räbiger, Investigation on Reactive Mass Transfer at
 Freely Rising Gas Bubbles, in: Tampa, Florida, USA, 2010: pp. 1–5.
 http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00102023/00202 (accessed November 2, 2015).
- [31] M. Stöhr, J. Schanze, A. Khalili, Visualization of gas–liquid mass transfer and
 wake structure of rising bubbles using pH-sensitive PLIF, Exp Fluids. 47 (2009)
 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-009-0633-6.
- [32] M. Yamamoto, M. Yamada, K. Morikawa, T. Sanada, T. Saito, Coupling
 mechanism between liquid phase motion and mass transfer around single rising
 bubbles by using PIV/LIF, in: Lisbon, Portugal, 2008.
- 676 [33] O. Bork, M. Schlueter, N. Raebiger, The Impact of Local Phenomena on Mass
 677 Transfer in Gas-Liquid Systems, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 83 (2005) 658–666.

- 678 https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.5450830406.
- [34] U.D. Kück, M. Schlüter, N. Räbiger, Local Measurement of Mass Transfer Rate
 of a Single Bubble with and without a Chemical Reaction, Journal of Chemical
 Engineering of Japan. 45 (2012) 708–712. https://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.12we059.
- [35] N. Dietrich, J. Francois, M. Jimenez, A. Cockx, P. Guiraud, G. Hébrard, Fast
 measurements of the gas-liquid diffusion coefficient in the gaussian wake of a
 spherical bubble, Chem. Eng. Technol. 38 (2015) 941–946.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201400471.
- [36] T. Saito, M. Toriu, Effects of a bubble and the surrounding liquid motions on the
 instantaneous mass transfer across the gas–liquid interface, Chemical
 Engineering Journal. 265 (2015) 164–175.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.12.039.
- [37] J. Huang, T. Saito, Discussion about the differences in mass transfer, bubble
 motion and surrounding liquid motion between a contaminated system and a
 clean system based on consideration of three-dimensional wake structure
 obtained from LIF visualization, Chemical Engineering Science. 170 (2017)
 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2017.03.030.
- [38] J. Huang, T. Saito, Influences of gas–liquid interface contamination on bubble
 motions, bubble wakes, and instantaneous mass transfer, Chemical Engineering
 Science. 157 (2017) 182–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.05.013.
- [39] J. Timmermann, M. Hoffmann, M. Schlüter, Influence of Bubble Bouncing on
 Mass Transfer and Chemical Reaction, Chemical Engineering & Technology. 39
 (2016) 1955–1962. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201600299.
- [40] M.W. Hlawitschka, P. Kováts, K. Zähringer, H.-J. Bart, Simulation and experimental validation of reactive bubble column reactors, Chemical Engineering Science. 170 (2017) 306–319.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.12.053.
- [41] G. Kong, K.A. Buist, E.A.J.F. Peters, J.A.M. Kuipers, Dual emission LIF
 technique for pH and concentration field measurement around a rising bubble,
 Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 93 (2018) 186–194.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2017.12.032.
- [42] N. Dietrich, G. Hébrard, Visualisation of gas-liquid mass transfer around a rising
 bubble in a quiescent liquid using an oxygen sensitive dye, Heat Mass Transfer.
 (2018) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00231-018-2297-3.
- [43] F. Xu, A. Cockx, G. Hébrard, N. Dietrich, Mass Transfer and Diffusion of a
 Single Bubble Rising in Polymer Solutions, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry
 Research. 57 (2018) 15181–15194. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b03617.

- [44] F. Xu, N. Midoux, H.-Z. Li, G. Hébrard, N. Dietrich, Characterization of bubble
 shapes in non-newtonian fluids by parametric equations, Chemical Engineering
 & Technology. 0 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201800690.
- [45] L. Yang, N. Dietrich, G. Hébrard, K. Loubière, C. Gourdon, Optical methods to
 investigate the enhancement factor of an oxygen-sensitive colorimetric reaction
 using microreactors, AIChE Journal. 63 (2017) 2272–2284.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.15547.
- [46] L. Yang, N. Dietrich, K. Loubière, C. Gourdon, G. Hébrard, Visualization and characterization of gas–liquid mass transfer around a Taylor bubble right after the formation stage in microreactors, Chemical Engineering Science. 143 (2016)
 364–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.01.013.
- [47] A. Kherbeche, J. Milnes, M. Jimenez, N. Dietrich, G. Hébrard, B. Lekhlif,
 Multi-scale analysis of the influence of physicochemical parameters on the
 hydrodynamic and gas–liquid mass transfer in gas/liquid/solid reactors,
 Chemical Engineering Science. 100 (2013) 515–528.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2013.06.025.
- [48] A. Kherbeche, M. Mei, M.-J. Thoraval, G. Hébrard, N. Dietrich, Hydrodynamics
 and gas-liquid mass transfer around a confined sliding bubble, Chemical
 Engineering Journal. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.041.
- [49] L. Yang, K. Loubière, N. Dietrich, C. Le Men, C. Gourdon, G. Hébrard, Local investigations on the gas-liquid mass transfer around Taylor bubbles flowing in a meandering millimetric square channel, Chemical Engineering Science. 165
 (2017) 192–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2017.03.007.
- [50] P. Kováts, D. Pohl, D. Thévenin, K. Zähringer, Optical determination of oxygen mass transfer in a helically-coiled pipe compared to a straight horizontal tube, Chemical Engineering Science. 190 (2018) 273–285.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2018.06.029.
- [51] S. Kastens, J. Timmermann, F. Strassl, R.F. Rampmaier, A. Hoffmann, S. Herres-Pawlis, M. Schlüter, Test System for the Investigation of Reactive Taylor
 Bubbles, Chemical Engineering & Technology. 40 (2017) 1494–1501.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201700047.
- [52] C. Butler, E. Cid, A.-M. Billet, Modelling of mass transfer in Taylor flow:
 Investigation with the PLIF-I technique, Chemical Engineering Research and
 Design. 115 (2016) 292–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2016.09.001.
- [53] C. Butler, B. Lalanne, K. Sandmann, E. Cid, A.-M. Billet, Mass transfer in Taylor flow: Transfer rate modelling from measurements at the slug and film scale, International Journal of Multiphase Flow. 105 (2018) 185–201.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2018.04.005.

- [54] E. Alméras, S. Cazin, V. Roig, F. Risso, F. Augier, C. Plais, Time-resolved
 measurement of concentration fluctuations in a confined bubbly flow by LIF,
 International Journal of Multiphase Flow. 83 (2016) 153–161.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2016.03.011.
- [55] M. Roudet, A.-M. Billet, S. Cazin, F. Risso, V. Roig, Experimental investigation
 of interfacial mass transfer mechanisms for a confined high-reynolds-number
 bubble rising in a thin gap, AIChE J. 63 (2017) 2394–2408.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.15562.
- [56] F. Felis, N. Dietrich, A.-M. Billet, F. Strassl, S. Herres-Pawlis, V. Roig, K.
 Loubière, Experiments on reactive mass transfer around an oxygen bubble rising
 freely in a confined cell using colourimetric methods, in: Lisbon, Portugal, 2018:
 pp. 121–130.
- [57] F. Felis, F. Strassl, L. Laurini, N. Dietrich, A.-M. Billet, V. Roig, S.
 Herres-Pawlis, K. Loubière, Using a bio-inspired copper complex to investigate
 reactive mass transfer around an oxygen bubble rising freely in a thin-gap cell,
 Chemical Engineering Science. (2019).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2019.07.045.
- [58] S. Rüttinger, C. Spille, M. Hoffmann, M. Schlüter, Laser-Induced Fluorescence
 in Multiphase Systems, ChemBioEng Reviews. 5 (2018) 253–269.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cben.201800005.
- [59] M. Jimenez, Etude du transfert de matière gaz/liquide en milieux complexes:
 quantification du transfert d'oxygène par techniques optiques, INSA, 2013.
- [60] R.E. Erb, M.H. Ehlers, Resazurin Reducing Time as an Indicator of Bovine
 Semen Fertilizing Capacity, Journal of Dairy Science. 33 (1950) 853–864.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(50)91981-3.
- [61] L. Anderson, S.M. Wittkopp, C.J. Painter, J.J. Liegel, R. Schreiner, J.A. Bell,
 B.Z. Shakhashiri, What Is Happening When the Blue Bottle Bleaches: An
 Investigation of the Methylene Blue-Catalyzed Air Oxidation of Glucose, J.
 Chem. Educ. 89 (2012) 1425–1431. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed200511d.
- [62] J. Francois, N. Dietrich, A. Cockx, A Novel Methodology to Measure Mass
 Transfer Around a Bubble, Mod. Phys. Lett. B. 25 (2011) 1993–2000.
 https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984911027236.
- [63] F. Xu, M. Jimenez, N. Dietrich, G. Hébrard, Fast determination of gas-liquid
 diffusion coefficient by an innovative double approach, Chemical Engineering
 Science. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2017.02.043.
- [64] M. Roustan, Transferts gaz-liquide dans les procédés de traitement des eaux et
 des effluents gazeux, Tec & Doc Lavoisier, Paris; Londres; New York, 2003.