

Experimental Characterization of an Earth Eco-Efficient Plastering Mortar

Paulina Faria, Tania Santos, Jean-Emmanuel Aubert

► To cite this version:

Paulina Faria, Tania Santos, Jean-Emmanuel Aubert. Experimental Characterization of an Earth Eco-Efficient Plastering Mortar. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 2016, 28 (1), pp.04015085. 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001363 . hal-01849699

HAL Id: hal-01849699 https://hal.insa-toulouse.fr/hal-01849699

Submitted on 13 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Experimental characterization of an earth eco-efficient plastering mortar

- 2 Paulina Faria¹*
- 3 Tânia Santos²
- 4 Jean-Emmanuel Aubert³
- 5

6 ABSTRACT

7 Earthen plastering mortars are becoming recognized as highly eco-efficient. The 8 assessment of their technical properties needs to be standardized but only the German 9 standard DIN 18947 exists for the moment. An extended experimental campaign was 10 developed in order to assess multiple properties of a ready-mixed earth plastering 11 mortar and also to increase scientific knowledge of the influence of test procedures on 12 those properties. The experimental campaign showed that some aspects related to the 13 equipment, type of samples and sample preparation can be very important, while 14 others seemed to have less influence on the results and the classification of mortars. It 15 also showed that some complementary tests can easily be performed and considered 16 together with the standardized ones, while others may need to be improved. The 17 plaster satisfied the requirements of the existing German standard but, most 18 importantly, it seemed adequate for application as rehabilitation plaster on historic and 19 modern masonry buildings. Apart from their aesthetic aspect, the contribution of 20 earthen plasters to eco-efficiency and particularly to hygrometric indoor comfort should 21 be highlighted.

22

23 Subject headings from the ASCE's Civil Engineering Database

24 Mortar; Prefabrication; Test procedure; Standardization; Classification

¹ PhD, CERIS, ICIST, Nova University of Lisbon, Department of Civil Engineering, Caparica Campus, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal, <u>paulina.faria@fct.unl.pt</u>

^{*} corresponding author

² MSc, Nova University of Lisbon, Department of Civil Engineering, Caparica Campus, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal, <u>tr.santos@campus.fct.unl.pt</u>

³ PhD, Université de Toulouse, UPS, INSA, Laboratoire Matériaux et Durabilité des Constructions, 135, avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse cedex 4, France, <u>jean-emmanuel.aubert@univ-tlse3.fr</u>

25 Introduction

Mortars are building products that are widely used in construction, principally being applied as rendering and plastering systems to protect the walls. While renders have to resist the action of rain water, plasters must contribute to the indoor air quality and comfort. Therefore plastering mortars must fulfill predetermined requirements.

30 After being neglected for decades, earth-based plastering mortars are nowadays 31 becoming recognized as highly eco-efficient (Maddison et al., 2009; Darling et al., 32 2012). When compared to other types of mortars the sustainability of earth mortars is 33 well known, mainly in terms of embodied energy (Swan et al., 2011). In fact, this type of 34 mortar does not contain binders that have to be specifically produced and thus involve 35 stone mining, transport and energy consumption. Melià et al. (2014) compared the 36 environmental impacts of earthen plasters with those of conventional plasters based on 37 common binders (like cement or hydraulic lime) using the LCA methodology. Their 38 research showed that earth plasters outperformed the others with respect to all the 39 indicators considered: cumulative energy demand, greenhouse gas protocol, ecological 40 footprint and ReCiPe indicators (Melià et al., 2014). Aesthetic aspects, like color and 41 texture, were also recognized. However, the technical characteristics and efficiency of 42 these mortars has not often been scientifically proved; their technical efficiency needs 43 to be evidenced by testing.

44 Compared to other types of earth-based products, as the case of earth blocks that 45 have been deeply studied (Danso et al., 2014; Cagnon et al., 2014; Silveira et al., 46 2014), and other types of plastering mortars, such as air lime-based products (Veiga et 47 al., 2010; Faria et al., 2008), earth-based mortars have been characterized in very few 48 scientific studies (Pkla et al., 2003; Azeredo et al., 2008; Hamard et al., 2013; Delinière 49 et al., 2014). There are few codes and standards for earth building materials (Swan et 50 al., 2011). The recent German standard DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) is the first standard 51 specifically devoted to earth mortars. It defines some requirements and test methods. 52 Many test methods are based on parts of the EN 1015 standard, developed for

53 masonry mortars, mainly hydraulic binder-based, while others are specific to the DIN 54 standard (DIN, 2013). Delinière et al. (2014) have recently applied this standard to 55 characterize five ready-mixed earth plasters.

56 The experimental study presented in this paper involved a ready-mixed earth plastering mortar based on natural earth, sand and plant fibers. The dry ready-mixed product was 57 58 characterized in the laboratory. The same ready-mixed product was used to produce 59 two sets of mortars. The first was prepared in the field with current mechanical 60 equipment while the second was prepared in controlled laboratory conditions. The 61 mortar prepared on site was used to plaster an experimental brick masonry wall that 62 was being non-destructively tested (Faria et al., 2014), and a portion was reserved. 63 Both mortars were characterized in the fresh state and measurements included drying 64 shrinkage. Samples with different dimensions and methods of preparation were 65 produced in the laboratory. The wall plaster and the samples were tested. 66 Characterization of the hardened mortar included visual observation of the plaster 67 applied to the brick masonry test wall and several tests performed on mortar samples 68 to evaluate the mechanical, physical and microstructural properties of the mortar. Hygrothermal properties of the hardened mortars were also studied (sorption-69 70 desorption isotherms, vapor diffusion and thermal conductivity). The characterization 71 and the test procedures were based on the German standard (DIN, 2013) but also 72 included other standards and specific test procedures implemented by the authors. 73 The influence of differences in the dimensions of samples and the methods for 74 preparing them were assessed. The characteristics of the plaster are presented and, 75 whenever possible, compared with the DIN (DIN, 2013) requirements and with other 76 studies (Delinière et al., 2014; Gomes et al., 2012; Veiga et al., 2010). The aim is to 77 contribute to the setting up of test procedures, including the validation of existing ones 78 and the development of complementary procedures to characterize earth plasters. 79 These indicative results should be useful for a future international standard for earthen 80 plastering mortars.

81

82 Materials and methods

83 Materials

84 The experimental study presented in this paper was carried out with a ready-mixed 85 earth plastering mortar from the Embarro company (Portugal and Spain), based on 86 natural clayish earth and siliceous sand, both from the Algarve region (South Portugal), 87 and cut oat fibers 1-2 cm long. The ready-mixed mortar was mechanically produced on 88 site using a Putzmeister MP25 mixing and pumping equipment. The same equipment 89 was used for the application of mortar as a plaster on an experimental hollow brick 90 masonry wall having a surface area of 2.2 m x 1.8 m with rain protected exposure to 91 the outdoor environment (Fig. 1). A portion of this mortar was transported to the 92 laboratory (30 m distance - 2 minutes), where it was tested in fresh state conditions 93 and samples were prepared: prismatic samples 40 mm x 40 mm x 160 mm were 94 prepared in metallic molds and a 15 mm-thick mortar layer was applied to the surface 95 of ceramic hollow brick of surface area of 29.5 cm x 19.5 cm (Fig. 1). The same ready-96 mixed mortar product was mixed in the laboratory for 5 minutes with a mixer blade 97 (commonly used on site), using the same water content as for the on-site mortar. It, 98 too, was tested in fresh state conditions and samples were prepared: disk samples 90 99 mm in diameter and either 15 mm or 20 mm thick were prepared in PVC molds over a 100 polyethylene base and rectangular samples with 200 mm x 500 mm surface and 15 101 mm thick were prepared in metallic molds (Fig. 1). All the samples were manually 102 compacted and leveled. The prismatic samples were de-molded when hardened and 103 all the samples were allowed to reach equilibrium in controlled environmental 104 conditions at 20±3°C and 65±5% relative humidity (RH).

105

106 Methods

107 Characterization of ready-mixed product and fresh state mortar

108 The dry ready-mixed mortar product was observed visually and characterized in terms 109 of loose bulk density, based on EN 1097-3 (CEN, 1998c), dry particle size distribution, 110 based on EN 1015-1 (CEN, 1998/2006) and by X-ray diffraction test (XRD). XRD was 111 carried out with a Phillips diffractometer with Co Ka radiation, speed of 0.05 % and 20 112 ranging from 3 to 74. Two types of fractions were analysed: a fraction designated as 113 fine fraction, which has a higher binder concentration and was obtained from the fines 114 of the ready-mixed product passing a 106 µm sieve and a fraction designated as 115 global, obtained by grinding the ready-mixed product as collected, to pass in the 106 116 μm sieve.

The two batches of mortar were tested by: flow table consistency, based on standard
EN 1015-3 (CEN, 1999/2004/2006); bulk density, following standard EN 1015-6 (CEN,
1999/2006a); air content, according to standard EN 1015-7 (CEN, 1998b); and water
content, determined by weight loss after oven drying.

121 The laboratory mortar was also tested for water retention based on draft standard prEN 122 1015-8 (CEN, 1999). To determine water retention, the weight increase of filter papers 123 in contact with the fresh mortar specimen for 5 minutes was considered, in relation to 124 the mortar solid and liquid compositions. Consistency was assessed also by 125 penetrometer, based on standard EN 1015-4 (CEN, 1998a), and by the slump 126 occurring in the flow table test sample. For the latter test, the slump of the mortar 127 specimen was determined by the difference between the height of the mold and that of 128 the highest point of the slumped test specimen.

129

130 Drying shrinkage

For the mortar mixed on site, linear drying shrinkage was determined on the basis of standard DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) by the linear geometrical length reduction due to drying of six mortar samples 40 mm x 40 mm x 160 mm, assessed when they were demolded. For the laboratory mortar, shrinkage was determined by the geometrical reduction of the surface of three 200 mm x 500 mm mortar samples 15 mm thick when

hardened on metallic molds, compared with the dimensions of the molds.

137

138 Surface cohesion and dry abrasion resistance

139 The superficial cohesion and dry abrasion resistance were determined to assess the surface resistance and the eventual necessity for surface hardening (Röhlen and 140 141 Ziegert, 2011). Superficial cohesion was determined by the weight increase of an 142 adhesive tape 70 mm x 50 mm, after it had been pressed with constant intensity on the 143 surface of the samples of mortar layer on ceramic brick, using the method of Drdácký 144 et al. (2014), which expresses the loss of particles from the surface of the mortar. The 145 average and standard deviation of results obtained with six adhesive tapes applied in 146 two bricks was used.

Dry abrasion resistance was determined according to DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013), by the weight loss of mortar samples after 20 rotations of three different circular polyethylene brushes 65 mm in diameter, applied to the sample surface with a pressure of 2 kg. Samples with mortar on hollow brick and samples of 90 mm diameter and 20 mm thickness were tested.

152

153 Mechanical characterization

The mechanical characteristics were evaluated using the six prismatic, 40 mm x 40 mm x 160 mm samples. The dynamic modulus of elasticity was determined based on standard EN 14146 (CEN, 2004), defined for natural stone, using a Zeus Resonance Meter. The flexural and compressive strengths were determined according to standards DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) and EN 1015-11 (CEN, 1999/2006c) using a Zwick Rowell Z050 machine, with load cells of 2 kN, for bending loads and 50 kN for compression. 161 The adhesive strength was determined with the pull-off adhesion test equipment 162 PosiTest AT-M and pull-head plates 50 mm in diameter, based on standards DIN 163 18947 (DIN, 2013) and EN 1015-12 (CEN, 2000).

164

165 Sorption–desorption isotherms and vapor diffusion

Water vapor permeability of the mortar was determined according to DIN 18947 (DIN,
2013), EN 1015-19 (CEN, 1998/2004), EN ISO 12572 (CEN, 2001) and EN 15803
(CEN, 2009b) using the 90-mm-diameter, 20-mm-thick laboratory mortar samples. The
wet method was used and the mortar specimen systems were placed in a climatic
chamber at 23°C and 40% RH.

171 The sorption of the mortar was determined with the 15 mm x 200 mm x 500 mm 172 rectangular samples in metallic molds initially in equilibrium at 50% RH, according to 173 DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013). A climatic chamber was programmed for 80% RH and the 174 water vapor gain after determined periods of time in the climatic chamber (from 0.5 h 175 up to 12 h) was assessed using a scale of 0.1 g precision. It was also determined by 176 the same method but using a scale of 0.001 g precision with the 90-mm-diameter 177 circular samples with thicknesses of 15 mm and 20 mm. The samples were water-178 vapor proofed with a polyethylene film on all surfaces except the top one. Both types of 179 samples were made with the laboratory mortar. The desorption of the mortars, initially 180 at equilibrium at 80% RH, was also determined. The climatic chamber was 181 programmed for 50% RH and the weight decrease of the same samples after the same 182 defined periods of time (from 0.5 h up to 12 h) were determined.

183

184 Capillary absorption and drying

The analysis of capillary rise is not a general requirement for non-stabilized earth mortars because they are intended to be applied for plastering the internal surfaces of walls or as renders but in areas protected from rain. Nevertheless, if the wall where the mortar is applied presents problems of capillary rise from the ground, the mortar may 189 need to resist capillary absorption. Therefore the capillary absorption of the mortar was 190 assessed, using EN 15801 (CEN, 2009a) and EN 1015-18 (CEN, 2002), by sequential 191 weighing of the samples in contact with water to a height of 5 mm. Cubes 40 mm x 40 192 mm x 40 mm were cut from the prismatic samples, prepared and tested. Three different 193 types of sample preparation were used: waterproofing the lateral faces of the cubic 194 samples with an epoxy resin (resin), waterproofing the lateral faces with a polyethylene 195 film (polyeth.), and without any material to waterproof the lateral faces (simple). A thin 196 cotton cloth was placed on the bottom face of each sample, to avoid loss of fines, and 197 was maintained by a thin elastic band. Each sample was placed inside a net basket 198 and handled in the basket throughout the test (Fig. 2).

The capillary curve, with water capillary absorption by contact area with water in ordinate (in kg/m²) and the square root of time in abscissa (in min^{0.5}), was plotted. The capillary coefficient, CC, which represents the initial capillary absorption, was determined by the slope of the most representative initial segment of the capillary curve.

204 The drying capacity of the mortar was assessed after samples had been wetted by the 205 capillary test, as described by EN 16322 (CEN, 2014), but without complete saturation 206 of the samples and in slightly different environmental conditions. The same samples, 207 with the three types of lateral surface treatment mentioned above, were used. The 208 drying curve was plotted with time in abscissa and water content in ordinate (weight / 209 drying surface, in kg/m²) and was used to calculate the drying rate (DR) and the drying 210 index (DI). The DR represented the initial drying of the mortar and was determined by 211 the slope of the initial portion of the drying curve for each type of sample preparation. A 212 higher slope of the curve with respect to the horizontal axis reflected a high drying rate 213 and faster initial drying. The DI represented the difficulty of achieving complete drying, 214 in equilibrium with the environment, and was calculated following the simplified 215 procedure presented by Grilo et al. (2014). It was determined for a period of 137 h.

All the tests were carried out in a conditioned room at 20±3°C and 65±5% RH.

217

218 Thermal conductivity and microstructure

219 Thermal conductivity was determined using six prismatic samples and the samples with 220 a 15-mm mortar layer on hollow brick, from the mortar mixed on site, and also using 221 the 15 mm and 20 mm thick circular samples with and the 15 mm x 200 mm x 500 mm 222 in metallic molds rectangular samples of the laboratory mixed mortar. Tests were 223 performed after drying of the samples and at equilibrium with the laboratory conditions 224 (20°C, 65% RH). An Isomet 2104 Heat Transfer Analyzer was used with a 60-mm-225 diameter contact probe, API 210412. The equipment requires a minimum surface of 60 226 mm in diameter and a height of 15 mm. The prismatic sample type did not satisfy the 227 recommendations for using the test equipment as the surface area of the contact probe 228 exceeded the surface area of the sample.

The bulk density was geometrically determined according to DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) and EN 1015-10/A1 (CEN, 1999/2006b) on the same prismatic samples, by means of a digital caliper and a 0.001 g precision digital scale.

232 The open porosity was determined by mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) and the 233 same technique was used for the determination of pore size distribution. MIP was 234 applied to specimen taken from among the prismatic samples, without the influence of 235 the substrate, but also to specimen of the mortar layer on hollow brick produced in 236 controlled laboratory conditions and samples of the plastering mortar applied on the 237 experimental hollow brick masonry wall, conditioned in the exterior environment 238 protected from rain. It was determined with a Micromeritics Autopore II mercury 239 porosimeter. The masses of the test specimens were stabilized at 40°C and the mortar specimens were prepared so as to occupy the greater part of the 5 cm³ bulb of the 240 241 penetrometer volume. Testing began at low pressures ranging from 0.01 MPa to 0.21 242 MPa, followed by high pressure analysis from 0.28 MPa to 206.84 MPa, following a test 243 procedure that is commonly used for lime mortar testing (Grilo et al., 2014).

245 **Results and discussion**

246 Ready-mixed product and fresh state mortar characterization

The average value of loose bulk density and its standard deviation was 1.17 ± 0.01 kg/dm³. The ready-mixed product had a reddish color and the dry particle size distribution (average of three samples) is presented in Fig. 3.

250 The results obtained by XRD are shown in Fig. 4. The main minerals detected on 251 ready-mixed product were quartz (SiO_2) , K-Feldspar (KAlSi₃O₈), dolomite 252 $(CaMg(CO_3)_2)$, illite $((K,H_3O)Al_2Si_3AlO_{10}(OH)_2)$ and kaolinite $(Al_2(Si_2O_5)(OH)_4)$. Other 253 minerals were detected in low proportions, like calcite (CaCO₃) and hematite (Fe₂O₃). 254 The fine fraction presented an increase of the proportions of clay minerals (illite and 255 kaolinite), which is accompanied by k-feldspar, dolomite, calcite and hematite minerals. 256 The mortar (two batches, produced on site and in the laboratory) showed very good 257 workability when handled. The plaster applied to the brick masonry wall (Faria et al., 258 2014) gave a reddish colored surface without shrinkage cracks. Some dispersed plant 259 fibers could be seen. The average values (and, whenever at least three samples were 260 tested, the standard deviation) of fresh mortar properties are presented in Table 1.

From Table 1, it can be observed that the fresh state characteristics of the mortars mixed on site and in the laboratory were quite similar, namely in terms of flow table consistency, bulk density and water content, despite the different equipment and conditions used for the mortar production. It is probable that the slightly higher air content and lower bulk density of the mortar mixed on site were due to the mechanical equipment that produced (and projected) the mortar.

Another fact that could have influenced the fresh state characterization was the time that elapsed between the contact of the clayish mortar product with water and the moment the tests were performed. In fact the mortar mixed on site was prepared and applied as plaster on several walls before being transported to the laboratory and tested. However, tests performed on samples from both the site and the laboratory batches did not reveal differences that could be directly attributed to that situation. Thisis very positive because it indicates good stability of the product when fresh.

274 Compared with earth mortars characterized by Gomes et al. (2012), the mortars 275 considered in the present study had higher bulk density. When the consistency, wet 276 bulk density and water content of the earth mortar tested here were compared with 277 those tested by Delinière et al. (2014), the results were observed to be in the same 278 range.

- 279
- 280 Drying shrinkage

281 The average and standard deviation of shrinkage measured on samples 40 mm x 40 282 mm x 160 mm was 0.21±0.08%. In the case of 200 mm x 500 mm laboratory 283 rectangular samples 15 mm thick the average and standard deviation length changes 284 of the shorter and longer sides of the rectangle were 0.32±0.00% and 0.58±0.23%. As 285 these samples were not de-molded, it was harder to measure shrinkage in this case 286 than for prismatic molds. It seemed that shrinkage was proportional to the measured 287 dimension and, for that reason, another mold was filled with laboratory mortar but only 288 one sample was tested, using a film-faced plywood mold 40 mm x 40 mm x 600 mm 289 generally used for testing earth for building purposes and following the Alcock test 290 (Gomes et al., 2014). Drying shrinkage was 0.61% and no crack was observed inside 291 the mold. No cracking due to drying shrinkage was observed on the plaster applied to 292 the experimental wall. The drying shrinkage was very low regardless of the samples 293 used, including the plaster applied to the experimental wall. The shrinkage measured 294 on the prismatic samples, according to DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013), was well beyond the 295 maximum of 3% defined for mortars with fibers. Comparison with the results obtained 296 with samples of other dimensions suggests that the shrinkage increases in direct 297 relation with the length of the sample.

298

299 Surface cohesion and dry abrasion resistance

300 The cohesion test was easily performed and allowed the superficial loss of material to 301 be assessed quantitatively, by weighing. It was 0.10±0.03 g.

It seems that, even if a precision scale is not available, the visual observation of the material sticking to the adhesive tape can be qualitatively compared (Fig. 5). In real conditions, this easy test can, therefore, be used for comparison between plasters and between different areas of the same plaster. Comparing the results obtained by Drdácký et al. (2014) for lime mortars using the same test methods, it is possible to conclude that the loss of material obtained with the clayish plaster is higher, showing a lower surface cohesion.

The abrasion relief formed on disk samples with the three brushes can be seen in Fig. 6. The soft brush, when pressed, exceeded the diameter of the disc. As the abrasion with that brush was almost inexistent, it could not be measured with the mortar on brick sample because of the scale precision.

The average and standard deviation of weight loss by abrasion on circular mortar samples and on mortar-on-brick samples after testing with hard, medium and soft brushes are presented in Table 2. The standard DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) defines two classes, SI and SII, for mortars considering their weight loss by abrasion and their lower limits are also given in Table 2.

318 The differences of weight loss by abrasion of the mortar obtained with different brushes 319 are noteworthy. With the soft brush, the mortar would be classified in class SII, while 320 with the other two brushes the mortar does not meet the standard requirement. 321 Bearing in mind that DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) only defines a plastic brush, it seems that 322 the hardness of the brush should be defined with more precision. The DIN standard 323 also defines that, instead of measuring the weight loss, the disaggregated material 324 should be weighed. That procedure would appear to be less accurate because, due to 325 the abrasion of the brush, some of the material would be scattered and, therefore, it 326 would be difficult to gather and weigh the totality.

328 Mechanical characterization

The average and standard deviation of the dynamic modulus of elasticity (Ed), flexural and compressive strength (FStr and CStr), and adhesive strength (AStr) of the mortar are presented, together with the lower limits of DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) strength classes SI and SII, in Table 3. The fracture pattern of the adhesion test was an adhesive rupture at the interface between mortar and brick, effectively representing the adhesive strength.

335 The results presented in Table 3 show that this mortar can be classified as SI because its flexural strength is not less than 0.3 N/mm², its compressive strength is not less than 336 1.0 N/mm² and its adhesive strength is not less than 0.05 N/mm² (DIN, 2013). 337 338 Compared with earth mortars characterized by Gomes et al. (2014), the mortars 339 analyzed in the present study have higher dynamic modulus of elasticity, flexural 340 strength and compressive strength. Compared with five earth mortars characterized by 341 Delinière et al. (2014) the tested mortar presents flexural and compressive strengths 342 that are lower (though only slightly). Nevertheless the mortar tested has a higher 343 adhesive strength, which may show the influence that different supports can have on 344 this test. In fact, not only the support but also its preparation may have a huge 345 influence on results (Delinière et al., 2014). Different, simple tests may be considered 346 to assess adhesion, such as the one established by Hamard et al. (2013), which can 347 be easily applied on site to evaluate the compatibility of plasters with the substrate.

Veiga et al. (2010) suggest a range of mechanical characteristics of plastering mortars to ensure compatibility with historic masonry: dynamic modulus of elasticity 2000-5000 N/mm², flexural strength 0.2-0.7 N/mm² and compressive strength 0.4-2.5 N/mm². Although the range was defined for lime-based mortars, it seems acceptable that the same range should be also considered for plastering mortars to be applied to other masonries with similar mechanical characteristics. It can be noted that the mechanical characteristics of the ready-mixed earth mortar are all within the suggested range.

356 Sorption–desorption isotherms and vapor diffusion

The water vapor resistance factor, μ , was 8.0±0.3 and the water vapor diffusion equivalent air layer thickness, Sd, was 0.16±0.01 m (average and standard deviation). The DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) states that a value of 5 - 10 can generally be adopted for the water vapor resistance factor of earth mortars (dry and wet method, respectively). The mortar analyzed confirmed that assumption.

362 Cagnon et al. (2014) obtained values of μ between 3 and 6 with different types of 363 earthen bricks, in a chamber at 50% RH and 20°C. Although bricks and plasters were 364 applied and tested with different thickness, a comparison of the results stressed the 365 remarkable water vapor permeability of the ready-mixed plaster.

366 The water vapor weight gain and release are presented in Fig. 7. When comparing the 367 adsorption of the mortar by the standardized rectangular sample with 1000 cm² surface 368 area with the lower limits of classes defined by DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) (WSI, WSII and 369 WSIII) it can be seen that the mortar can be classified in class WSIII. Nevertheless, 370 and despite the apparently different results obtained with the other samples, for a much smaller surface of 28.3 cm², the same class would be obtained for both types of 371 372 samples with 90 mm diameter and 15 mm or 20 mm thickness. Although the 373 rectangular samples show an initial increase on adsorption, their following behavior is 374 parallel to that of the circular samples. There is no difference in sorption between 375 circular samples, regardless of their thickness.

376 Concerning desorption, behavior is similar for the circular and rectangular samples,377 particularly during the first half of the test.

378

379 Capillary absorption and drying

380 The capillary curves of the mortar tested for each type of sample preparation is 381 presented in Fig. 8, with the most representative segments of capillary absorption and 382 their equations. As explained in Methods the slope of those segments represents the 383 capillary coefficient. The drying curve of the mortar for each type of sample preparation is presented in Fig.

385 9, with the segments of initial drying for the determination of the drying rate (DR).

The average and standard deviation of capillary coefficient, CC, drying rate, DR, and drying index, DI, of the mortar samples prepared in different ways – waterproofing of lateral surfaces with resin or polyethylene film and simple (without waterproofing) - are presented in Table 4.

The capillary test showed that the preparation of the samples (without lateral waterproofing or with polyethylene film or with resin) has an important influence on results. For that reason, it seems to be very important to define the sample preparation procedure if capillary requirements are considered. In terms of sample preparation, DR results show the same tendency as CC; simple samples and resin samples show the same tendency for DI and CC, while the samples with polyethylene present a different tendency.

397 The mortars without mineral binder and with resin preparation used by Gomes et al. (2012) presented a CC of 0.14 kg/(m^2 .s^{0.5}) without fibers and 0.23 kg/(m^2 .s^{0.5}) with 398 399 hemp fibers; their DI was 0.11 without fibers and 0.13 with hemp fibers. The period of 400 time for the determination of DI by Gomes et al. (2012) was not the same as that of the 401 present study and, also, the samples of the present study were not totally capillary 402 saturated before starting the drying test (for that reason, DI is not strictly comparable). 403 Nevertheless, when comparing the mortars characterized by Gomes et al. (2012) with the ones of the present study, it can be observed that the latter have a much lower 404 capillary coefficient (0.5 kg/(m².min^{0.5}) corresponding to 0.06 kg/(m².s^{0.5})), meaning that 405 406 the rising water progresses more slowly, but a higher drying index of 0.18, meaning 407 that total drying is achieved later.

408

409 Thermal conductivity and microstructure

The thermal conductivity results (average and standard deviation for each type ofsample) are presented in Table 5.

Independently of their type, all the samples had a value close to 0.9 W/(m.K), which seems to be interesting for non-thermal plasters. Considering a 2-cm-thick plaster and comparing it with a plaster with chemical binder (with thermal conductivity around 1.3 W/(m.K), the thermal resistance increase due to the earth plaster presented here would be 0.04 (m².K)/W.

Bulk density determined geometrically and from open porosity measured by MIP for the
prismatic samples, and MIP determinations for the mortar layer on brick and the plaster
on the outdoor protected experimental wall are given in Table 6.

The plastering mortar can be placed in class 1.8 in terms of dry bulk density (DIN,
2013) because the bulk density is between 1.61-1.80 kg/dm³. The porosity determined
by MIP is quite similar for the different types of samples of the same mortar.

Incremental mercury porosimetry curves for specimens of prismatic mortar, mortar on
brick, and brick masonry plaster – for the whole range and only the lower part of the
range - are plotted in Fig. 10. The pore size diameter is expressed in microns and each
step of the mercury intrusion is in ml/g.

427 It can be observed from the curves of Fig. 10 (a) that both the mortar plaster on brick 428 masonry and the mortar on laboratory brick samples present almost the same 429 microstructure in terms of most frequent pore diameter (approximately 40 µm) and 430 differential mercury intrusion (approximately 0.20 ml/g). This shows that the mortar's 431 microstructure is not influenced by the environmental conditioning (in outdoor protected 432 conditions or in laboratory conditions) for the higher range of pores. The mortar 433 specimen from a prismatic sample presents a quite different microstructure, with most 434 frequent pore diameters at around 55 µm and 14 µm, with 0.18 ml/g and 0.12 ml/g 435 respectively. This bi-modal microstructure of the mortar applied without the influence of 436 a porous support, compared with samples of the same mortar but applied in contact 437 with ceramic brick, shows that the support has a notable influence on the mortar's 438 microstructure. In fact the brick support increases the quantity of pores with larger 439 diameter while decreasing the quantity with smaller diameters.

When the lower range of pores (Fig. 10b) is studied, two peaks can be observed: around 6 µm mainly for the specimen from the prismatic sample and around 0.1 µm for all samples. This is the range commonly recognized to have the most influence on the capillary absorption of building materials (Mindess et al., 1981). However, this statement is based on studies for cement-based materials and not specifically those on earth mortars. For the latter type of mortars, the influence of the microstructure needs to be studied in greater depth.

447

448 Conclusions

The workability achieved by both batches of the ready-mixed earth mortar was excellent. Results of flow table consistency, wet bulk density and drying shrinkage satisfied the requirements of DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) for earth plasters even with different mixing procedures. These tests seem appropriate for fresh state characterization and demonstrate good stability of the characteristics with different types of mixing equipment.

The mortar presents good mechanical characteristics when compared to air lime mortars. It seems appropriate for application on historic walls (Veiga et al., 2010). The resistance to abrasion is an issue that it is important to address for this type of mortars but it is necessary to increase the detail of the test procedure mentioned in the DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013), namely in terms of the hardness of the brush used and the assessment of the loss of weight, for comparability.

The mortar showed a very high adsorption capacity, and also the ability to desorb all the water vapor adsorbed. The hygroscopic behavior of the mortar, and of similar mortars analyzed by other authors, leads to the conclusion that this type of earth mortars can indeed contribute to the hygrometric equilibrium and comfort inside buildings.

466 The capillary absorption measurement is not a common requirement for this type of 467 mortars but it enables the assessment of their behavior to be broadened, which may be 468 important for some applications and uses. The definition of the lateral waterproofing of 469 the samples is crucial for comparison, as the results are more favorable when the 470 lateral waterproofing seems more efficient. Drying capacity can also be easily 471 assessed. The thermal conductivity does not seem as important for common plaster, 472 where the layers are not thick.

The dry bulk density determined geometrically is quite reliable. The microstructure is
also quite stable when the plaster is applied to different substrates (porous or metallic)
and under different environmental conditions (protected exterior or laboratory).

The ready-mixed mortar tested fulfilled all the DIN 18947 (DIN, 2013) requirements
assessed and showed an appropriate behavior when applied to a hollow brick test wall
in protected outdoor conditions.

It is expected that the results will contribute to a more generalized use of earth mortars as plasters, or as renders in areas protected from rain, on historic but also on modern masonries. The implementation of an international standard, where test procedures and requirements were defined, would also help to achieve this goal.

483

484 Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the EMBARRO company for supporting the earth plaster workshop where the plaster was produced and are particularly grateful to Vitor Silva for his help throughout the testing campaign.

488

489 **References**

490 Azeredo, G., Morel, J.-C. (2008). "Applicability of rheometers to characterizing earth

491 mortar behavior. Part I: experimental device and validation." Materials and Structures,

492 41, 1465-1472. Doi: 10.1617/s11527-007-9343-9

493 Cagnon, H., Aubert, J.E., Coutand, M., Magniont, C. (2014). "Hygrothermal properties

494 of earth bricks." *Energy Building*, 8, 208-217. Doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.05.024

495 Danso, H., Martinson, B., Ali, M. & Mant, C. (2014). "Performance characteristics of
496 enhanced soil blocks: a quantitative review." *Building Research & Information.* Doi:
497 10.1080/09613218.2014.933293

498 Darling, E., Cros, C., Wargocki, P., Kolarik, J., Morrosin, G., Corsi, R. (2012). "Impacts

- 499 of clay plaster on indoor air quality assessed using chemical and sensory
 500 measurements." *Building and Environment*, 57, 370-376. Doi:
 501 10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.06.004
- 502 Delinière, R., Aubert, J.E., Rojat, F., Gasc-Barbier, M. (2014). "Physical, mineralogical 503 and mechanical characterization of ready-mixed clay plaster." *Building and*
- 504 *Environment*, 80, 11-17. Doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.05.012
- 505 *DIN (2013). "DIN 18947* Earth plasters Terms and definitions, requirements, test 506 methods (in German)", Berlin.
- 507 Drdácký, M., Lesák, J., Niedoba, K., Valach, J. (2014). "Peeling tests for assessing the
- 508 cohesion and consolidation characteristics of mortar and render surfaces." *Materials* 509 *and Structures.* Doi: 10.1617/s11527-014-0285-8
- 510 CEN (1998/2006). EN 1015-1/A1. "Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 1:
- 511 Determination of particle size distribution (by sieve analysis)", Brussels.
- 512 CEN (1999/2004/2006). EN 1015-3/A1/A2. "Methods of test for mortar for masonry.
- 513 Part 3: Determination of consistence of fresh mortar (by flow table)", Brussels.
- 514 CEN (1998a). *EN 1015-4.* "Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 4: 515 Determination of consistence of fresh mortar (by plunger penetration)", Brussels.
- 516 CEN (1999/2006a). EN 1015-6/A1. "Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 6:
- 517 Determination of bulk density of fresh mortar", Brussels.
- 518 CEN (1998b). *EN 1015-7. "*Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 7: 519 Determination of air content of fresh mortar", Brussels.
- 520 CEN (1999). prEN 1015-8. "Draft European Standard Methods of test for mortar for
- 521 masonry. Part 8: Determination of water retentivity of fresh mortar", Brussels.

522 CEN (1999/2006b). *EN 1015-10/A1. "*Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 10:
523 Determination of dry bulk density of hardened mortar", Brussels.

524 CEN (1999/2006c). *EN 1015-11/A1. "*Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 11: 525 Determination of flexural and compressive strength of hardened mortar", Brussels.

526 CEN (2000). *EN 1015-12. "*Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 12: 527 Determination of adhesive strength of hardened rendering and plastering mortars on 528 substrates", Brussels.

529 CEN (2002). *EN 1015-18. "*Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 18: 530 Determination of water absorption coefficient due to capillary action of hardened 531 mortar", Brussels.

532 CEN (1998/2004). *EN 1015-19/A1.* "Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Part 19:
533 Determination of water vapour permeability of hardened rendering and plastering
534 mortars", Brussels.

535 CEN (1998c). *EN 1097-3.* "Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates.
536 Part 3: Determination of loose bulk density and voids", Brussels.

537 CEN (2001). *EN ISO 12572.* "Hygrothermal performance of building materials and 538 products. Determination of water vapour transmission properties", Brussels.

539 CEN (2004). *EN 14146.* "Natural stone test methods. Determination of the dynamic 540 modulus of elasticity (by measuring the fundamental resonance frequency)", Brussels.

541 CEN (2009a). *EN 15801.* "Conservation of cultural property. Test methods.
542 Determination of water absorption by capillarity", Brussels.

543 CEN (2009b). *EN 15803.* "Conservation of cultural property. Test methods. 544 Determination of water vapour permeability", Brussels.

545 CEN (2014). *EN 16322.* "Conservation of Cultural Heritage. Test methods. 546 Determination of drying properties", Brussels.

547 Faria, P., Henriques, F., Rato, V. (2008). "Comparative evaluation of aerial lime

548 mortars for architectural conservation". Journal of Cultural Heritage, 9 (3), 338-346.

549 Doi: 10.1016/j.culher.2008.03.003

- Faria, P., Santos, T., Silva, V. (2014). "*Earth-based mortars for masonry plastering*". In:
 9th International Masonry Conference (CD).
- Gomes, M.I., Gonçalves, T.D., Faria, P. (2012). "*Earth-based repair mortars: experimental analysis with different binders and natural fibers.*" In: Mileto, Vegas &
 Cristini, editors. Rammed Earth Conservation 2012. London: Taylor & Francis, 661668.
- 556 Gomes, M.I., Gonçalves, T.D., Faria, P. (2014). "Unstabilised rammed earth: 557 characterization of material collected from old constructions in South Portugal and 558 comparison to normative requirements." *International Journal of Architectural Heritage,* 559 8, 185-212. Doi: 10.1080/15583058.2012.683133
- 560 Grilo, J., Faria, P., Veiga, R., Santos-Silva, A., Silva, V., Velosa, A. (2014). "New
- 561 natural hydraulic lime mortars. Physical and microstructural properties in different
- 562 curing conditions." Construction Building Materials, 54, 378-384. Doi:
- 563 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.12.078
- Hamard, E., Morel, J.C., Salgado, F., Marcom, A., Meunier, N. (2013). "A procedure to
 assess the suitability of plaster to protect vernacular earthen architecture." *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 14, 109-115. Doi: 10.1016/j.culher.2012.04.005
- 567 Maddison, M., Mauring, T., Kirsimae, K., Mander, U. (2009). "The humidity buffer 568 capacity of clay-sand plaster filled with phytomass from treatment wetlands." *Building* 569 *and Environment*, 44, 1864-1868. Doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2008.12.008
- 570 Melià, P., Ruggieri, G., Sabbadini, S., Dotelli, G. (2014). "Environmental impacts of
- 571 natural and conventional building materials: a case study on earth plasters." Journal of
- 572 Cleaner Production, 80, 179-186. Doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.06.004
- 573 Mindess, S., Young, J.F., Darwin, D. Concrete. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall 574 Inc.; 1981.
- 575 Pkla, A., Mesbah, A., Rigasse, V., Morel, J.-C. (2003). "Empirical comparison of testing
- 576 methods on measurements of the mechanical characteristics of soil mortars." Materials
- 577 and Structures, 36, 108-117. Doi: 10.1007/BF02479524

- 578 Röhlen, E., Ziegert, C. (2011). *Earth building practice*. 1st ed., Beuth Verlag GmbH,
 579 Berlin.
- Silveira, D., Varum, H., Costa, A., Carvalho, J. (2014). "Mechanical Properties and
 Behavior of Traditional Adobe Wall Panels of the Aveiro District." *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering.* Doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001194
- 583 Swan, A., Rteil, A., Lovegrove, G. (2011). "Sustainable Earthen and Straw Bale
- 584 Construction in North American Buildings: Codes and Practice." Journal of Materials in
- 585 *Civil Engineering*, 23(6), 866–872. Doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000241
- 586 Veiga, R., Fragata, A., Velosa, A.L., Magalhães, A.C., Margalha, G. (2010). "Lime-
- 587 based mortars: viability for use as substitution renders in historical buildings."
- 588 International Journal of Architectural Heritage, 4, 177-195. Doi:
- 589 10.1080/15583050902914678

- 590 Figure captions
- 591 **Fig. 1.** Samples and tests performed.
- 592 Fig. 2. Capillary samples prepared with resin and cotton cloth (left) and with
- 593 polyethylene film inside the net basket (right).
- **Fig. 3.** Dry particle size distribution of the ready-mixed mortar product.
- 595 Fig. 4. X-ray diffraction of global and fine samples of the ready-mixed product (Q -
- 596 quartz, F K-Feldspar, D dolomite, M illite, K kaolinite, C calcite, H hematite).
- **Fig. 5.** Visual result of the cohesion test with material sticking to the adhesive tape.
- 598 **Fig. 6.** Abrasion relief of the circular mortar samples tested with brushes of different
- 599 hardness.
- 600 **Fig. 7.** Sorption and desorption of mortar samples.
- 601 Fig. 8. Capillary curves of mortar samples with different preparation, representative
- 602 segment of capillary absorption, their equation and correlation coefficient.
- 603 Fig. 9. Drying curves of mortar samples with different preparations, segments of initial
- 604 drying, their equation and correlation coefficient.
- **Fig. 10.** Incremental mercury porosimetry curves whole range (a) and only lower part
- 606 of the range (b).

Table 1. Characteristics of fresh mortars.

Fresh Mortar	On site	Laboratory
Flow table consistency [mm]	178.8±2.5	182.3±2.5
Slump by flow table [mm]	-	14.2
Penetrometer consistency [mm]	-	2.4±0.1
Wet bulk density [kg/dm ³]	2.03	2.11
Air content [%]	2.8	2.5
Water retention [%]	-	67.5±1.3
Water content [%]	20.1±0.1	19.4±0.3

Table 2. Weight loss by abrasion and standard lower limits.

4) A / 4 [-]	Ø9cm, 2cm			Mortar on brick		
	Hard	Medium	Soft	Hard	Medium	Soft
Average	18.1	3.9	0.3	11.2	4.5	-
StDv	3.1	0.5	0.0	2.2	0.5	-
SI (DIN, 2013)	≤1.5					
SII (DIN, 2013)	≤0.7					

Table 3. Dynamic modulus of elasticity, flexural, compressive and adhesive strength of

Dry Mortor	Ed	FStr	CStr	AStr
Dry Wortai	[N/mm ²]	[N/mm ²]	[N/mm ²]	[N/mm ²]
Average	3610	0.3	1.1	0.15
Stdv	128	0.0	0.1	0.03
SI (DIN, 2013)	-	≥0.3	≥1.0	≥0.05
SII (DIN, 2013)	-	≥0.7	≥1.5	≥0.1

615 the mortar (average and standard deviation) and standard lower limits.

Table 4. Capillary coefficient, CC, drying rate, DR, and drying index, DI, of the mortar

619 (average and standard deviation).

Dry mortar	CC [kg/(m ² .min ^{0,5})]		DR [kg/(m ² .h)]			DI [-]			
Prepar.	Resin	Polyeth.	Simple	Resin	Polyeth.	Simple	Resin	Polyeth.	Simple
Average	0.50	0.86	1.84	0.30	0.33	0.64	0.18	0.22	0.14
Stdv	0.06	0.04	0.34	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.03

- **Table 5.** Thermal conductivity of mortars for different types of samples (average and
- 623 standard deviation).

	λ [W/(m.K)]				
Sample	Ø9cm 1.5cm	Ø9cm 2.0cm	Rectangular 1.5cm	1.5 m on Brick	Prismatic
Average	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0
Stdv	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

Table 6. Open porosity, bulk density and standard class of mortar on a prismatic

Sample		Bulk density [kg/dm ³]	Porosity [%]	Class (DIN, 2013)
Driamatia	Geometric	1.77 ±0.02	-	1 0
Prismatic	MIP	1.78	31	1.0
Plaster (MIP)		1.81	30	2.0
On brick (MIP)		1.99	31	- 2.0

627 sample, a plaster-on-brick sample and from the brick masonry plaster.