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Abstract
Background: The aim was to compare the efficacy of the passive ultrasonic irrigation PUI and the Xp-endo Finisher (FKG-Dentaire, La-Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland) in removing the calcium hydroxide paste from root canals and from the apical third.

Material and Methods: Sixty-eight root canals of single-rooted teeth were shaped using the BT-Race files (FKG-Dentaire, La-Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland). Ca(OH)2 was placed in all samples except for the negative control group (n=4). Remaining teeth were randomly divided into three groups: G1-Xp (n=30), G2-PUI (n=30) and the positive control group (n=4). Removal procedure consisted of three repeated one-minute-cycles. Samples were split longitudinally, photos of halves were taken at X6.4 magnification and were analyzed using the ImageJ-Software (The National Institutes of Health NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) to calculate the percentage of surfaces with residual Ca(OH)2; the results were compared using the Wilcoxon-Mann Whitney test. Photos of the apical thirds were taken at X16 and X40 magnifications and were scored by two examiners from (0) to (4). Scores of the apical third were compared using the Fisher test.

Results: The Xp-endo Finisher removed completely the Ca(OH)2 dressing from four teeth (13.33%) whereas the PUI in one tooth (3.33%). The mean values of the remaining Ca(OH)2 were (2.1%, 3.6%) respectively and the difference was not significant (p= 0.195). Both examiners found the Xp-endo Finisher more efficient in the apical third and the difference was significant; p= (0.025, 0.047) respectively.

Ca(OH)2 removal with the XP-Endo Finisher
Conclusions: The Xp-endo Finisher showed a superiority over the PUI in removing the Ca(OH)$_2$ from the apical third after 3 minutes of activation.
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Switzerland) was prepared by mixing the pure Ca(OH)2 powder with distilled water, and was then placed in all the teeth using a paste-filler size ISO-25 except for four teeth, randomly chosen, which served as a negative control group. Radiographs of all the teeth were taken to control the quality of Ca(OH)2 filling. The coronal part of the canal was sealed with Cavit (3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany). The samples were stored at 37°C and 100% humidity for 1 week.

Ca(OH)2 removal procedure:
Four teeth were chosen at random to serve as a positive control group; the Ca(OH)2 dressing was not removed from this group. The remaining sixty teeth were randomly divided, by using a 50-Cent coin, into two groups; G1: Xp-Endo® Finisher group (n=30) and G2: IrriSafe® group (n=30).

For both groups, after removing the coronal sealing, a size 15 K-file was introduced to the working length. The canal was then flushed with 2.5 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite using a 27-gauge Monoject syringe. During this study, the injection speed was always 5 mL/minute and the needle (27-gauge Monoject syringe) was always inserted to one millimeter shorter than the working length. The master apical file BT3 was introduced, in respect of the working length of each sample, with a gentle watch-winding-pull motion and the canal was flushed again with 2.5 mL of 2.5% sodium. The canal was dried with sterilized paper points and was then rinsed with 1mL of EDTAT® (Acteon, Mérignac, France) which was left in the canal for three minutes. The canal was then rinsed with 2.5 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite.

G1: XP-Endo® Finisher:
The XP-Endo® Finisher was used with an endodontic motor X-Smart (Dentsply Sirona, Ballaigues, Switzerland). The speed was set to 800 rpm and torque was set to 1 N.cm. Each tooth was held by a tight wooden pin and placed in a controlled-temperature water path at 37°C without allowing water to enter the canal. The process of Ca(OH)2 removal consisted of three separate cycles. During each cycle, the XP-Endo® Finisher was carried to the working length with slow and continuous in-and-out movements of about 5mm amplitude for a period of one minute followed by the irrigation with 2.5 mL with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. Finally, the canal was thoroughly dried with sterilized paper points.

For both groups, the total operating time with each instrument was three minutes and the volume of the irrigation was 16 mL: 1mL of EDTAT® and 15mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. Equal timings and amounts of irrigation were respected in this study.

Specimen preparation:
Grooves were prepared on the buccal and the lingual surfaces of each sample with a thin diamond bur used in water-cooled high speed hand-piece, keeping the dentin surrounding the root canal intact. The teeth were then split with a hammer and a small chisel. Photographs were taken of each half using a microscope (Leica-WILD M3B) at X6.4 magnification and a digital camera Canon® EOS 600D Digital SLR equipped with Tamron® macro (SP-90MM F/2.8 Di-VC-USD-1:1). The apical third was also captured at X16 and X40 magnifications using the same microscope and digital camera. The images were given random names to prevent the identification by the evaluators. The percentage of the remaining Ca(OH)2 in each half was calculated with the X6.4 photographs using the ImageJ program (The National Institutes of Health NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA). Two observers (The 1St and the 3rd authors) carried out several pixel-calculation tests with the ImageJ software to calibrate the calculation method. The calculation method was as following: Firstly, the canal borders were manually selected on the program which allowed the calculation of the root canal surface area in pixels. Then the total area covered in residual Ca(OH)2 was calculated by selecting the borders of all the individual patches of Ca(OH)2. In this way, it was possible to obtain the percentage of the canal surface covered by residual Ca(OH)2 was obtained (Fig. 2).

Photographs of the apical third at X16 magnifications were also coded to prevent the identification and were evaluated by two examiners (The 1st and the 3rd examiners) to give a score to each sample using the following scoring-system:
0: No Ca(OH)2 was found in the apical third, 1: the Ca(OH)2 is covering less than 10% of the apical third, 2: the Ca(OH)2 is covering less than 50% of the surface of the apical third, 3: the Ca(OH)2 is covering more than 50% of the surface of the apical third, 4: the Ca(OH)2 is covering all the surface of the apical third (Fig. 3). Photographs at X40 magnifications were used to confirm the scores of the apical third.

Statistical analysis:
The percentages of the surfaces with residual Ca(OH)2 were analyzed using the Wilcoxon-Mann Whitney test with \( p < 0.05 \). Kappa values were calculated to assess the inter-examiners agreement regarding the apical third scores. The efficacy of removing the calcium hydroxide
dressing from the apical third was determined using the Fisher test with $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

The XP-Endo® Finisher was able to completely remove the Ca(OH)$_2$ from four teeth (13.33%) whereas the PUI was able to completely remove the dressing in one tooth (3.33%). The mean values for residual Ca(OH)$_2$ were (2.1%) in the XP-Endo group and (3.6%) in the PUI group and this difference was not significant; $p=0.195$. The Kappa values for the apical third scores were 0.79 and 0.76 for the XP-Endo® Finisher group and the PUI group respectively. The first examiner found the score 0 of the apical third in 14 samples of the XP-Endo® Finisher group whereas this score was achieved in 5 samples in the PUI group; $p=0.025$. The second observer found the score 0 in 13 specimens in the XP-Endo® Finisher group and in 5 specimens in the PUI group; $p=0.047$.

**Discussion**

Previously published studies highlight the difficulty of the complete removal of the Ca(OH)$_2$ pastes from the root canal system and from the apical third (14,22,24). The sonic activation of the irrigation results in significantly more remnants of Ca(OH)$_2$ compared to the combination of rotary instrumentation and PUI (26). Numerous studies used the artificial groove model because it standardizes both the quantity of the Ca(OH)$_2$ used and the dimensions of the groove (14,21). This model was not chosen in this study since it does not accurately represent the complexity of the root canal system (14).

The quantity of the Ca(OH)$_2$ placed in each canal was not standardized, canals were filled using a paste-filler and were then controlled radiographically. Ca(OH)$_2$ removal was executed before separating samples. Hence, the efficacy of Ca(OH)$_2$ elimination was assessed in more physiologically accurate conditions. Electron microscopic evaluation was not chosen as it is impossible to differentiate Ca(OH)$_2$ residuals from the smear layer or dentinal debris (27).

The new recommendations for use of the IrriSafe® tips were adhered to this study; three one-minute cycles of activation were carried out for the PUI group and the same timings were also used for the XP-Endo® Finisher group. Moreover, the XP-Endo® Finisher was used in its austenitic phase at 37 °C in a temperature-controlled water path.

A recent study (25) has compared the PUI and the XP-Endo® Finisher for the eradication of the Ca(OH)$_2$ from artificial grooves in the apical third; this study found
that both methods were unable to completely remove Ca(OH)2 from the artificial grooves after one minute of activation and the difference was not significant. The current study proposes a prolonged activation period and an increased volume of irrigation for both methods of Ca(OH)2 elimination from root canals shaped by Ni-Ti instruments and not from artificial grooves; the XP-Endo® Finisher was able to completely remove the Ca(OH)2 from 4 samples (13.33%) whereas only one tooth (3.33%) was found to be Ca(OH)2 free in the PUI group. The mean values of remaining Ca(OH)2 were (2.1%) and (3.6%) in the XP-Endo® Finisher group and the PUI group respectively but the difference was not significant and the null hypothesis was accepted for the whole root canal but not for the apical third where the XP-Endo® Finisher showed superiority over the PUI and the difference was significant for both observers. The XP-Endo® Finisher has a small core “size 25” and zero taper. Due to its high flexibility, this instrument will contact and clean the dentine but not change the original shape of canal.

During this study, the XP-Endo® Finisher was carried to the working length in its austenitic phase with continuous slow in-and-out movements of around 5mm amplitude; the tip of the instrument was in contact with root canal walls in the last 5mm which correspond to the apical third. The design of this instrument allowed the complete cleaning of the apical third in 46.6% of the teeth for the first examiner, and in 43.3% for the second. The removal of the Ca(OH)2 is the consequence of the physical contact between the rotating instrument and the canal walls, especially in the apical third, but also consequence of the agitation of the irrigant due to its rotation at 800 rpm. Effective final irrigation protocol before root canal filling is indispensable to remove efficiently all the debris and the smear layer.

In the PUI group, our results match with previously published results concerning the difficulty in completely removing the Ca(OH)2 using the PUI tips (24,25). The acoustic energy delivered by the ultrasonic tip at frequencies of 25-30 kHz activates the irrigant solution and creates cavitation bubbles in the irrigant while the tip remains passive without contact with root canal walls. Therefore, the removal of the Ca(OH)2 dressing is the result of the cavitation phenomena which agitates the irrigation solution.

A new XP-Endo® Finisher-R, which has ISO-30 in diameter and zero taper, was recently introduced by FKG Dentaire, La-Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland. This instrument is designed to remove root filling materials adhering to the canal walls, especially in the curvature or oval areas. This new instrument may have an interesting role in removing the Ca(OH)2 dressing from the root canal due to its increased rigidity.

Conclusions

Both methods investigated in this study were unable to completely remove the Ca(OH)2 from all specimens. The XP-Endo® Finisher was more efficient in removing the Ca(OH)2 dressing from the apical third than the PUI and this difference was significant.
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